REPORT TO:

FINANCE AND MANAGEMENT

AGENDA ITEM:

13

**DATE OF** 

**MEETING:** 

1st DECEMBER 2011

COMMITTEE

CATEGORY: DELEGATED

**REPORT FROM:** 

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER** 

**OPEN** 

**MEMBERS'** 

**KEVIN STACKHOUSE (01283 595811)** 

**CONTACT POINT:** 

**CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER** 

DOC: u/ks/budget round 1213/investment proposals Nov 11

Kevin.stackhouse@south-derbys.gov.uk

SUBJECT:

**CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROPOSALS:** 

PROGRESS ON EVALUATION

REF:

WARD(S)

AFFECTED: ALL

**TERMS OF** 

REFERENCE: FM 08

## 1.0 Recommendations

1.1 That the Committee creates a task/finish Service and Financial Planning Working Group to consider the result of proposals for new Capital Investment.

## 2.0 Purpose of Report

2.1 To setup a task and finish group to consider new capital investment proposals following the initial evaluation by the scoring panel.

## 3.0 Detail

- 3.1 At its meeting in September, the Committee approved an updated framework for evaluating new capital investment. In addition, it approved a small working group consisting of 3 members of the Committee to evaluate proposals.
- 3.2 Consequently, bids were submitted for evaluation based on the business case framework approved by the Committee in September. These schemes were considered and scored by the working group in accordance with the approved framework.
- 3.3 As reported to the Committee in September, resources of up to £1,739,457 are available for new capital investment. This mainly relates to the remaining proceeds (after meeting existing capital commitments) from the sale of the Bretby Crematorium earlier in the year.
- 3.4 In total, 14 bids were submitted with a gross investment value of £6.5m, which would require a Council contribution of £3.7m. Clearly this is well above the amount available.
- 3.5 The scoring panel have ranked the bids in order of priority based on the evaluation framework. However, given the profile of proposed expenditure in the bids, it is difficult to fit the priority list back to available resources.

- 3.6 In addition, it became apparent in the scoring panel that some bids could be shaped or financed differently.
- 3.7 In past years, the Committee has set up a task/finish Service and Financial Planning Working Group to consider the scoring and then make recommendations on how best to utilise the available resources given other options. It is recommended that a similar group is again utilised and as before, reports back to the Committee with recommendations.
- 3.8 The aim would be for this Group to meet during December and report back to the Special Budget Committee on 12<sup>th</sup> January 2012.

## 4.0 Financial Implications

- 4.1 None directly
- 5.0 Corporate Implications
- 5.1 None directly
- 6.0 Community Implications
- 6.1 None directly.