
REPORT TO:	PLANNING COMMITTEE	AGENDA ITEM: 5
DATE OF MEETING:	6 th AUGUST 2019	CATEGORY: DELEGATED
REPORT FROM:	STRATEGIC DIRECTOR (SERVICE DELIVERY)	OPEN
MEMBERS' CONTACT POINT:	RICHARD STEWART 01283 595730 (Richard.stewart@southderbyshire.gov.uk)	DOC:
SUBJECT:	AMENDMENT TO SECTION 106 AGREEMENT RELATING TO LAND AT COURT STREET, WOODVILLE	REF:
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	SWADLINCOTE AND WOODVILLE	TERMS OF REFERENCE: DC01

1.0 Recommendations

- 1.1 In recognition of this scheme now containing 100% affordable homes, the Committee endorses the amendment to the agreement to accept a financial contribution of £27,500 in lieu of the previously required financial contributions. It is recommended that the sum be allocated towards the delivery of the Swadlincote Regeneration Route or improvements works to mitigate traffic congestion at Tollgate Island.

2.0 Purpose of Report

- 2.1 An application has been received from the land owner of this site to review the Section 106 agreement under Section 106A of the 1990 Act. This report considers the reasons why the application has been submitted and a recommendation is proposed.

3.0 Executive Summary

- 3.1 The submitted amendment to the Section 106 agreement for the site shows that the site is being developed as a 100% affordable housing scheme. A design and build contract for the site has been agreed (which is currently under construction) and includes grant funding from Homes England. The application and supporting viability assessment concludes that the costs of the Section 106 agreement could not be met though the development of the site as a 100% affordable scheme. Whilst the viability assessment submitted indicates that no form of financial contribution would be viable, following an independent assessment of the detail of the scheme and its costs by the District Valuer, a financial contribution of £27,500 had been proposed which in accordance with the advice of the District Valuer should be accepted.

4.0 **Detail**

- 4.1 Members will recall that the site was granted outline planning permission at this Committee in December 2016 for 72 dwellings. The originally considered scheme was proposed as a local plan complaint market housing led scheme. However, although on the open market, the site was purchased by a Registered Provider of affordable homes.
- 4.2 A viability assessment has been submitted by the new land owner which has been considered by the District Valuer who has come to the conclusion that a scheme for the development of the site for 100% affordable housing would not be viable based on the design and build contract agreed at the site but that a contribution of £55,704 would be viable based on the use of BCIS build costs. However, it cannot be ignored that a design and build contract has been agreed on the site and development has commenced. In discussions undertaken following the submission of the viability assessment, an offer of £27,500 has been made by the applicant as a compromise. The advice of the District Valuer is that serious consideration should be given to accepting the proposed contribution.
- 4.3 The original agreement contained 6 schedules, 4 of which required the undertaking of works, the payment of financial contributions or the provision of infrastructure. For clarity each of the relevant schedules and the proposals put forward by the applicant for their amendment are set out below.
- 4.4 Second Schedule (Open Space); Part 1 - The provision of (or financial equivalent) of an off-site LEAP on land within the ownership of the Council (to the south of the site). Part 2 - Provision of on-site open space (including an option for transfer of the land to the Council or managed and maintained by a management company). Part 3 – Construction of the off-site LEAP. Part 4 – Payment of the off-site LEAP contribution. Part 5 – Off-site open space financial contribution if the quantum of open space provided on site is inadequate. The proposal is for the full quantum of open space to be provided on-site, and offered to the Council for adoption with a commuted sum to be provided for the sites maintenance, no provision or financial contribution is proposed for the delivery of the off-site LEAP.
- 4.5 Third Schedule (National Forest Planting); Part 1 – Approval of on-site planting. Part 2 – Provision and management of on-site planting. Part 3 – Payment of a financial contribution should the on-site provision not meet the national forest planting requirement. The applicant proposes to meet the requirements of this schedule in full.
- 4.6 Fourth Schedule (Financial Contributions); Built Facilities Contribution - £21,121.60. Outdoor Sports Facilities Contribution - £37,840. Education Contribution – Infant and Junior £159,586.14. Healthcare Contribution - £27,388.80. Highway Contribution - £41,475.36. TRO Contribution - £15,000. The proposed amendment would see a contribution of £27,500 paid for the Council to determine the most appropriate location for the contribution.
- 4.7 Fifth Schedule (Drainage Matters); The provision, management and maintenance of on-site SUDS, with options for maintenance by a management company or transfer to the Council and as required the payment of a maintenance sum. This schedule of the agreement is to remain unchanged.

- 4.8 The main changes to the agreement therefore relate to the required financial contributions. Consideration needs to be given to the allocation of the contribution deemed financially viable. In infrastructure terms, one of the key considerations as to the acceptability of the site for residential development is its impact on the highway network. To this end it is considered appropriate for the financial contribution to be allocated towards the delivery of the Swadlincote Regeneration Route or improvement works to mitigate traffic congestion at Tollgate Island.

5.0 Financial Implications

- 5.1 The amended agreement would result in the maintenance of schedules 3 and 5 without alteration, the removal of the requirement to deliver an off-site LEAP from Schedule 2, and a substantial alteration to the financial contributions required by Schedule 4.

6.0 Employee Implications

- 6.1 None.

7.0 Corporate Implications

- 7.1 The scheme would contribute towards facilitating and delivering a range of integrated and sustainable housing and community infrastructure.

8.0 Community Impact

- 8.1 **Consultation:** As carried out in the course of the planning application.
- 8.2 **Equality and Diversity Impact:** The delivery of affordable housing will assist in achieving greater equality.
- 8.3 **Social Value Impact:** The overall development would assist in access to affordable homes.
- 8.4 **Environmental Sustainability:** Mitigation of the impact of the development will contribute toward the achievement of environmental objectives.

9.0 Conclusions

- 9.1 As members will be aware the development of sites for the provision of 100% affordable dwellings rarely provide sufficient 'headroom' in development finance terms to provide the financial contributions that a predominantly market dwelling scheme would see; particularly as much of the funding for the development of the site is based on Homes England grant funding.
- 9.2 There are a number of strategic sites around the District which are unable to deliver the local plan requirement of 30% affordable housing, and sites such as this which are delivering 72 affordable dwellings are necessary in order to help make up 'the balance' and help deliver the affordable homes the District needs. Given the significant benefits associated with delivering such a quantum of affordable dwellings, the amendments to the agreement are recommended for approval.