Annexe 'B'

Swadlincote Town Centre Masterplan Phase 1: Options Appraisal

Location	Budget Cost*	Strengths	Weaknesses	Capital Implications	Revenue Implications	Comments
SECTION 1	£ 764,000	Very high regeneration impact	Large funding shortfall Risk of not obtaining	Yes. Would need to raise £403,000	Yes, not yet quantified.	Not a feasible contender
High Street west end		Principle pedestrian space, high footfall	funding for remainder of High Street		maintained by DCC	
			Could not be implemented within DDEP time limit (ie substantial completion by end march 2007)			
			Maintenance issues unresolved			
SECTION 2	£512,000	High regeneration impact	Funding shortfall	Yes. Would need to raise £151,000	Yes, not yet quantified.	Not a feasible contender
High Street, east end		Pedestrian space, medium to high footfall Regeneration in	Not stand alone, risk of not obtaining funding for remainder of High Street		Currently maintained by DCC	
		progress at this end of town - Morrisons	Could not be implemented within DDEP time limit			
			Maintenance issues unresolved			
SECTION 3A	£105,000	Can be done within secured funding limit	Low regeneration impact if done alone	No	None	Feasible option
Church Street		Stand alone	Secondary shopping			Could be added to a package of works at
(granite kerbs and channel,			street, low footfall			the east end to increase impact
footways and road tarmac)			Does not signal well that regeneration is under way			

Page 1 of 4

SECTION 3B	£227,000	Can be done within secured funding limit	Some of the affected land is in private	No	Yes, minimal and in SDDC control	Feasible option
Civic Way east		Medium Regeneration Impact	ownership, negotiations with other land owners needed		W CDD CONTROL	Planning permission could be obtained within the time limits.
Widen verge, tree planting and boundary walls		Improvement to town approach	Planning permission needed for wall			Works could exclude elements on private land on which
waiis		Stand alone				negotiations could continue for later implementation
SECTION 3C 3 Jitties to Civic Way car parks	£51,000	At least part can be done within secured funding limit	Some of the affected land is in private ownership, negotiations with other land owners needed	No	Yes, minimal and in SDDC control	Feasible option Could be added to above to increase impact
						Works could exclude elements on private land
SECTION 4 Civic Way west	£467,000	Medium regeneration impact Would improve approach and gateway to the town	Funding shortfall Area could be affected by redevelopment Could not be implemented within DDEP time limit Maintenance issues unresolved	Yes. Would need to raise £106,000	Yes, not yet quantified	Not a feasible contender
SECTION 5 Bus Station	£649,000	Medium regeneration impact Would improve approach and gateway to the town	Funding shortfall Area could be affected by redevelopment Could not be implemented within DDEP time limit Maintenance issues unresolvedage 2 of 4	Yes. Would need to raise £288,000	Yes, not yet quantified	Not a feasible contender

SECTION 6 Market Street	£341,000	Can be done within secured funding limit	On its own does not signal that regeneration is under way Low regeneration impact Secondary shopping street, low footfall Maintenance issues unresolved	No	Yes, not yet quantified Currently maintained by DCC	Regeneration impact lower that Civic way/Church Street option. Could only be implemented if maintenance issues resolved quickly
SECTION 7 West Street	£431,000	High regeneration impact Would help promote 'Cultural Quarter' Spin off benefits for Sharpe's, TIC and West Street Partnership project Stand alone	Funding shortfall Delay in obtaining additional funding could jeopardise secured funding Could be difficult to implement within DDEP timescale Maintenance issues unresolved	Yes. Would need to raise £70,000	Yes, not yet quantified Currently maintained by DCC	Preferred option if additional funding available and maintenance issue resolved Landfill Tax Grant could be applied for but decision would not be known until September 2006 Risk of losing secured funding could be eliminated by SDDC funding
SECTION 8 Midland Road	£292,000	Can be done within secured funding limit Medium regeneration impact Adjacent to potential development sites. If they come forward in the short term regeneration impact would be greater	Adjacent to potential development sites and could be damaged or disturbed Maintenance issues unresolved	No	Yes, not yet quantified Currently maintained by DCC	Regeneration impact marginally lower than Civic way/Church Street option. Could only be implemented if maintenance issues resolved quickly and could be disturbed by redevelopment

SECTION 9 Belmont Street	£300,000	Can be done within secured funding limit	Low regeneration impact Partial, secondary shopping street Adjacent to potential development site for which planning permission has been granted and could be damaged or disturbed in short term On its own does not signal that regeneration is under way Maintenance issues unresolved	No	Yes, not yet quantified Currently maintained by DCC	Regeneration impact significantly lower than Civic way/Church Street option. Could only be implemented if maintenance issues resolved quickly and could be disturbed by redevelopment
SECTION 10 The Delph SECTION 11	£955,000 £518,000	Highest regeneration impact Stand alone Would strengthen screening effect of	Substantial funding shortfall Maintenance issues unresolved Could not be implemented within DDEP time scales Low regeneration impact	Yes. Would need to raise £595,000 Yes. Would need to raise £157,000	Yes, not yet quantified Currently largely maintained by DCC Yes, not yet quantified	Not a feasible contender Not a feasible contender
Civic Way car parks		trees on Civic Way	,		Currently largely maintained by SDDC	33.1.311331

^{*}Note: The above figures include preliminaries (30%) and contingencies (20%) but exclude fees. They differ from those in the committee report of 01 06 06 as a result of minor amendments and adjustment to include street furniture in the relevant sections. This was previously a separate item.