NET PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION OF THE REPAYMENT OF PWLB LOANS

6 11,971 25,625 16,125 1,981 25,626 16,125 1,981 25,625 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,125 16,1	165704	i.	:	ŀ		-		ì		+	÷	4	+		1	Į	
26,231 13,625 16,500 20,185 13,625 16,500 15,139 13,625 16,500 10,039 13,625 16,500 10,039 13,625 16,500	+	454132		455795 4684	435 471691	471692	479305	481013 46	481014 48	483087 485	485381 485382	382 INTEREST	EST INCOME	ME HRA	(OUTFLOW)	۷) (3.5%	NDN.
		a	-	3	άı	3	Ł.J	Eu!	(vi	4	स स	G	4	(cř	ent.		E
			1	10.365 11	500 178 111	11 889							623,736 365	,250 48,711			202,681
		1		1		L	<u>i_</u>	1			L						189,193
	_			ļ	11,500 178,11		62,000	1	29,375 7		70,761 2.			360,753 48,711	711 196,205	0.902	176,965
42 B2E				ļ					ì				i	1		Ì	165,348
770.0	Ĺ		i					29,375		71,750 70		2,364 587	587,601 355	355,613 48,711			154,315
13,625	3,580 1,995	í	16,125	2,962 11,	,500 178,111				ı	i		i	-	353,043 48,7	111 176,814	Maria	143,838
1	Ì	1	ļ		ž.			29,375	- 1			-	H	,114 48,711		- 1	137,356
	2,557	25,625	16,125	17.	1			-		A PARTY			572,588 350		173,719	19 0.759	131,924
	2,045	25,625	16,125	11,		11,889			29,375 7	71,750 70		W. Links		***		Ì	125,627
	1,534	25,625	16,125	1,						. 1			ĺ	- in	, institute		116,890
13,625 16,500	1,023	25,625	16,125	11,				29,375				2,364 561	561,398 346	346,858 48,711		28 0.685	113,583
1	511	19,307	16,125	-		11,889	62,000				j		1	-	- manual		105,327
2			16,125	7.											Ocephanical	ļ	91,959
			16,125	11,]	- 1	711 122,574	ļ	1
			16,125	1,	,500 178,111	1 11,889		29,375	29,375 7		70,761 . 2	2,364 472		}		31 0,597	
13,625 16,500			16,125	17									i	-	-	- {	1
	***************************************		16,125	-		1		i	29,375 7				1	-	113,077		-
			16,125	Ę	5	11,889							į	,540 48,711		54 0.538	49,402
12,432			16,125	11,	,500 74,172	2 4,951		29,375	1	71,750 70	70,761 2,	2,364 322	322,805 304	304,080 48,7	1	1	-15,598
The state of the s			16,125	11,	009'	• .				-	_		-	4			-42,390
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1			12,149	1,	,500					- 1	70,761 2	2,364 227	ĺ	,420 48,711	711 -52,857	57 0.486	-25,666
		-	Validation of the second of th	-	,500							- 1				-	-32,194
			- A Company of the Co	1	500				29,375 7	71,750		134			Limited	_	-63,544
				1	500					71,750		105		: 3			-59,106
				11.	500				7	71,750		83		148,380 48,711	711 -113,841	41 0.423	-48,172
	AMALUS 188			Ö	1.641				7	1,750		8		٠.	Ì		-43,766
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O									1,750		71		138,000 48,711		_	-45,411
							-		7	1,750		7.1		136,270 48,7			-43,215
									7	71,750		71	71,750 136	136,270 48,7	711 -113,231	31 0.369	-41,754
Control of the Contro			-				******		7	71,750		7.1		136,270 48,711			-40,342
			-						7	71.750		71	71,750 136			L	-38,978
									7	1 750		71	İ	L	711 -113,23	_	-37,660
							-			71 750	-	71			711 -113,23	31 0,321	-36,386
									7	71 750		7.1	71 750 136		48.711 -113.231		-35,156
		1								74 750		7.1	ľ	out Comments		L	-33 987
					+				-	00,1		-			1	↓_	
201 000 000 110 1100 11	700 00	201 100	A CAS A CO	14 400 007	727 200 474	010 010	BA7 254	868 383	37 757 2 54	897 757 2 511 250 1 550 730		RIG 11 039	892 9 147	539 1.704 8	51 809 14 939 892 9 447 539 1 1 704 885 1 1 087 488	58	1.477.876

ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL LOANS

L_	Loan	Years	Leo Leo	Amount	Penalty	Total	Deposit		Net Cash	Average		Average
1	Reference	SO	Rate	S	Pay't	Interest	ncome	쥘	Savings	Per Year	3	Per Year
1 1		2000	9/0	¥	W	W	C42	47	E CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	3	æ	4
1	101 445014 0	თ	12.875%	75,000	45,186	84,525	37,426	3,104	43,996	4,888	32,281	3,587
	101 446966 0	16	14.750%	50,000	55,214	116,182	58,247	4,266	53,669	3,354	30,951	1,934
1	101 448879 0	17	14.000%	100,000	106,115	234,548	121,237	8,612	104,699	6,159	58,339	3,432
1	101 449545 0	2	13.625%	203,704	47,267	75,694	43,418	2,779	29,497	5,899	24,836	4,967
!	101 449546 0	φ	13.625%	100,000	105,470	241,890	127,967	8,882	105,042	5,836	56,551	3,142
	101 451916 0	19	11.000%	150,000	114,591	309,432	173,942	11,361	124,128	6,533	64,566	3,398
1	101 451917 0	12	11.250%	56,818	19,301	39,887	31,605	1,465	6,817	568	4,512	376
	101 454131 0	9	10.375%	125,000	21,688	41,899	30,452	1,538	9,908	1,651	8,060	1,343
	101 454132 0	12	10.250%	250,000	123,589	301,182	155,114	11,059	135,009	11,251	89,347	7,446
	101 455794 0	7	10.750%	150,000	116,562	334,649	193,684	12,287	128,678	6,128	62,482	2,975
!	101 455795 0		11.000%	100,962	21,839	41,462	29,742	1,522	10,197	1,457	8,015	1,145
1	201 468435 0	26	11.500%	100,000	98,297	297,141	178,388	10,910	107,843	4,148	44,090	1,696
1	301 471691 0	19	10.000%	1,781,112	1,127,448	3,280,174	1,912,087	120,439	1,247,648	999'99	148,971	34,156
1	301 471692 0	9	10.000%	118,888	75,256	218,949	127,631	8,039	83,280	4,383	43,318	2,280
1	501 479305 0	4	8.000%	775,000	247,031	842,351	495,072	30,929	316,350	22,596	195,435	13,960
1	101 481013 0	23	5.875%	500,000	70,989	668,382	454,393	24,541	189,448	8,237	85,874	3,734
	101 481014 0	24	5.875%	500,000	72,612	697,757	475,497	25,620	196,640	8,193	86,120	3,588
\$	401 483087 0	35	5.125%	1,400,000	64,898	2,511,250	1,773,992	92,206	645,052	18,430	193,501	5,529
1	301 485381 0	22	4.875%	1,451,506	4,927	1,550,730	1,108,637	56,938	385,155	17,507	180,696	8,213
<u> </u>	301 485382 0	22	4.875%	48,494	165	51,809	37,039	1,902	12,868	585	5,833	265

ANALYSIS OF PART REPAYMENT

						INTERE	ST PAYABL	INTEREST PAYABLE ON INDIVIDUAL LOANS	VIDUAL LC	ANS							!	2	IET CASH	í	
									,							TOTAL	DEPOSIT		INFLOW OTHER OWN	NO.	MBM
	445014	446986	448879	449545	449546	451916	451917	454131	454132	455794	455795	468435	471691	471692 4	479305 IN		INCO B	HKA (C	JOINTOW)	%c.c)	2
Year	tol	ú	G.	сн	W	Ü	ધાં	ધા	С	ш	ᄕᅿ	u i	ш	ધા	ពា	್ಷ	ш	내	¢≱		ば
31/03/05	958	7.375	14.000	25.231	13.625	16.500	6,136	11.971	25,625	16,125	10,365	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	420,111	230,310	21,920	167,881	0.966	162,203
31/03/06	9.658	7.375	14,000	20.185	13,625	16.500	5,625	9,976	25,625	16,125	8,885	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	411,077	228,383	21,920	160,774	0.934	150,085
31/03/07	9.656	7.375	14,000	15,139	13,625	16,500	5,114	7,981	25,625	16,125	7,404	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	402,043	225,813	21,920	154,311	0.902	139,179
31/03/08		7.375	14,000	10,093	13,625	16.500	4,602	5,986	25,625	16,125	5,923	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	393,010	223,243	21,920	147,847	0.871	128,840
31/03/09	L	7.375	14,000	5.048	13,625	16,500	4.091	3,990	25,625	16,125	4,442	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	383,976	220,673	21,920	141,383	0.842	119,041
31/03/10	9.656	7,375	14,000		13,625	16,500	3,580	1,995	25,625	16,125	2,962	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	374,943	218,103	21,920	134,920	0.814	109,757
31/03/11	9 656	7.375	14,000		13,625	16,500	3,068		25,625	16,125	1,481	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	370,955	216,174	21,920	132,861	0.786	104,428
31/03/12	L	7.375	14,000		13,625	16,500	2,557		25,625	16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	368,963	215,218	21,920	131,825	0,759	100,109
31/03/13	_		14,000		13.625	16,500	2,045		25,625	16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	366,071	214,828	21,920	129,323	0.734	94,888
31/03/14			14,000		13,625	16,500	1,534		25,625	16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	358,284	213,373	21,920	122,991	0.709	87,191
31/03/15		7.375	14 000		13.625	16,500	1.023		25,625	16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	357,773	211,918	21,920	123,934	0.685	84,888
31/03/16		7.375	14 000		13.625	16,500	511		19,307	16,125	***************************************	11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	350,943	211,761	21,920	117,262	0.662	77,602
31/03/17		7.375	14,000		13,625	16,500	-			16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	62,000	331,125	207,279	21,920	101,926	0.639	65,172
34/03/18		7.375	14,000	-	13,625	16,500	-			16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	36,351	305,476	202,875	21,920	80,680	0,618	49,843
31/03/19		7.375	14,000		13,625	16.500				16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889		269,125	189,468	21,920	57,737	0.597	34,463
31/03/20		5.557	14,000		13,625	16,500				16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889	_	267,307	176,060	21,920	69,326	0.577	39,981
34/03/21			10.548		13,625	16,500				16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889		258,298	175,195	21,920	61,183	0,557	34,091
31/03/22					10,265	16,500				16,125		11,500	178,111	11,889		244,390	172,600	21,920	49,870	0,538	26,848
31/03/23						12,432				16,125		11,500	74,172	4,951		119,180	169,140	21,920	-71,880	0.520	-37,389
31/03/24										16,125		11,500				27,625	131,945	21,920	-126,240	0.503	-63,444
31/03/25							-			12,149		11,500				23,649	96,480	21,920	-94,751	0.486	-46,008
34/03/26												11,500				11,500	93,885	21,920	-104,305	0.469	-48,935
31/03/27							-					11,500				11,500	91,290	21,920	-101,710	0.453	-46,104
31/03/28						-						11,500				11,500	91,290	21,920	-101,710	0.438	-44,545
31/03/29												11,500				11,500	91,290	21,920	-101,710	0.423	-43,038
31/03/30												9,641				9,641	91,290	21,920]	-103,569]	0.409	-42,343

41,899 301,162 334,649 41,462 297,141 3,280,174 218,949 842,351 6,459,964 4,609,887 569,919 1,280,158

TOTALS 84,525 116,182 234,548

.



Adrian Waite (Independent Consultancy Services) Limited

Potential early repayment of public works loans board debt

A draft final report for South Derbyshire District Council

January 2004

AWICS Limited, Appleby Business Centre, Bridge Street, Appleby in Westmorland, Cumbria CA16 6QH Telephone: 017683-52347 or 52165 Mobile: 07971-321863. Fax: 017683-52546. E-Mail: Adrian.waite@awics.co.uk. Website: www.awics.co.uk.

Director: Adrian Waite MA CPFA ACIH.
Company Number: 3713554. VAT Registration Number: 721 9669 13

Contents

1 .	Introduction was a second of the second of t	2
2	Appraisal of options based on those outlined in your paper dated 21 st November 2003 to include a sensitivity analysis	6
3	Independent guidance on technical issues including the balance sheet, credit ceilings and the potential effect on the Housing Revenue Account, including: Clarification of the legality of using the Provision for Credit Liabilities to capitalise the penalty payment. Whether the Council needs to prove that the Provision for Credit Liabilities (or at least the amount that would be used) is cash backed, and if so how this should be done. Whether this applies only to the penalty payment or the total debt repayment. Whether, if the Provision for Credit Liabilities is used the General Fund Credit Ceiling would increase as a result – and whether this would increase Minimum Repayment Provision. The effect of the whole exercise on credit ceilings (approximately £11m General Fund & £2m Housing Revenue Account). Whether the Council would still have credit ceilings with effectively no debt. Whether, in this case, a Minimum Repayment Provision would still be required and the logic of how this would tie in with having no debt.	19
	一、一角基準運動裝置。 医重新重要性 医多种畸形 人名法 医多	
4	Conclusions and Recommendations	25
Ξ.	Appendices	26
	Appendix One – List of Loans Appendix Two – Comparison of retaining and repaying debt using discounted cash flow at a discount rate of 3.5%. Appendix Three – Comparison of retaining and repaying debt using discounted cash flow at a discount rate of 4.7%. Appendix Four - Comparison of retaining and repaying debt using discounted cash flow at a discount rate of 3.5% - by loan	

TE S

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The option of repaying or rescheduling the Council's long term debt has been kept under review by South Derbyshire District Council over the last two to three years. A full options appraisal has not yet taken place, due mainly to the severity of the penalty that would be incurred on repaying any loans early. This generally eliminates any on going cost savings in the General Fund Revenue Account.
- 1.2 However, an opportunity now exists (until 31st March 2004) to capitalise penalty payments. This is ahead of the new prudential system for borrowing and capital finance.
- 1.3 In addition, the Council has acquired substantial capital receipts over the last two years from which, in accordance with regulations, a substantial proportion has been set-aside for debt repayment. The Council has now effectively accumulated adequate resources to repay all Public Works Loan Board debt, including the penalty payment.
- 1.4 These set-aside receipts cannot be used to support spending. Furthermore, the interest currently being earned on these resources is relatively low compared to that being incurred in debt interest, due to the level of current interest rates.
- 1.5 In any event, the existing debt (which is mainly fixed) is a significant cost in the Council's revenue account. Given all of these factors, a more formal appraisal has been undertaken with a view to reducing the Council's liability utilising accumulated resources currently in hand.
- 1.6 The Council has asked me to appraise these options based on those outlined in the paper prepared by the Finance Services Manager dated 21st November 2003 to include a sensitivity analysis; and to give independent guidance on technical issues including the balance sheet, credit ceilings and the potential effect on the Housing Revenue Account. This report is my draft final report.

1.7 Outstanding Long-term debt

1.7.1 The Council currently has the following outstanding long-term debt portfolio.

		Amount	r is its	
	Lender	O/S	Com	ment
		(£m)		
	Public	£8.04m		Made up of 20 individual loans maturing at various
	Works			periods to 2039.
	Loans			All loans are at fixed interest rates to maturity.
	Board		o T	The average interest rate is 7.47%, the highest being
				4.75%, and the lowest at 4.875%.
• .				Total annual interest is approximately £620,000 per
	**			ear sia e side e es i mani das
		4.45	o Ť	The total penalty payment for repaying the whole £8m
	·			s currently around £2.5m.
				lowever, this reduces as interest rates rise. £2.5m is
				considered to be the maximum payable given recent
	* .		11	ncreases in the Base Rate. It would be calculated at
	1.10	* 1		he date of repayment.
	Money	£1.0m	o A	A fixed loan at 4.875%, maturing in 2032.
·	Market	te to display to		Total annual interest is approximately £48,000 per
		er er er er er er er er		rear.
			-	Potentially, there is a break clause every 6 months.
				The lender has the option of reviewing the interest rate
				every 6 months. If they wish to increase it, the Council
				has the option of repayment. As a result of this, it is
	:			considered that this loan may be treated as a short-
	Sec. 1			erm loan for the purposes of considering whether
				South Derbyshire District Council may be considered a
				debt-free' authority should a repayment of the public
				vorks loans fund debt be made. It is understood that
				he Finance Services Manager has confirmed this
				natter with the external auditors.
Į.			3 (HULLO WILL BIO DAIGHAL AGUILOIS.

1.8 Bank Deposits

1.8.1 The Council currently has around £14m on short-term deposit, placed in accordance with its approved lending list. This has accrued mainly due to substantial asset sales (housing land and council houses) and is currently earning interest at an average rate of 3.46%.

- 1.8.2 It is important to note that although this level of deposits has been steadily increasing overall since April 2001, it can fluctuate during the year depending on the Council's overall daily cash flow. However, current cash flow projections still point to a balance of around £12m at the end of this financial year.
- 1.9 Set aside Receipts & Changes in Overall Borrowing
- 1.9.1 As at the 31st March 2003, the Council had received in total approximately £16.6m in set-aside capital receipts since the inception of the set-aside rules in 1990/91. This is projected to total around £20m by March 2004, based on current asset sales (mainly council houses). £12m has been generated over the last 4 years alone.
 - 1.9.2 Effectively, some of this money has already been used to repay debt, in particular that previously being incurred on a temporary basis. As at April 2000, the Council had temporary loans of just under £8m.
 - 1.9.3 This debt was fully repaid during 2001/02. In addition, the Council has not needed to enter into any form of borrowing over this period, and in accordance with its Treasury Management Strategy, has effectively been able to finance Government borrowing approvals over the last 3 years of approximately £2.5m.
 - 1.9.4 The amount remaining would enable the whole of the Public Works Loans Board portfolio to be repaid including the associated penalty payment (approximately £10.5m).
 - 1.10 Impact on the Housing Revenue Account (Housing Revenue Account)
 - 1.10.1 The total interest payable on the Council's long term debt is approximately £668,000 per year. Of this approximately £153,000 (23%) is recharged out to the Housing Revenue Account in accordance with Government guidelines.
 - 1.10.2 This reflects the amount of estimated debt outstanding in respect of the Housing service. This is reimbursed by the Government through Housing Subsidy and there is generally a neutral effect on the Council's Housing Revenue Account. The net effect to the Council is therefore approximately £515,000 (£668,000 less £153,000) on the General Fund.
 - 1.11 Treasury Management Policy
 - 1.11.1 Sections from South Derbyshire District Council's Treasury Management Policy that appear to be relevant include:

"The Council will manage its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates with a view to containing its interest costs, or securing its interest revenues, in accordance with the amounts provided in its budgetary arrangements." (para. 3.2.2)

Appleby Business Centre, Bridge Street, Appleby in Westmorland, Cumbria CA16 6QH Telephone: 017683-52347 or 52165 Mobile: 07971-321863. Fax: 017683-52546. E-Mail: Adrian.walte@awics.co.uk. Website: www.awics.co.uk.

4

"The Council recognises that future legislative or regulatory changes may impact on its treasury management activities and, so far as it is reasonably able to do so, will seek to minimise the risk of these impacting adversely on the organisation." (para. 3.2.5)

- 1.12 Calculations carried out by the Finance Services Manager
- 1.12.1 The Finance Services Manager has calculated the effect of the early redemption of Public Works Loans Board debt on South Derbyshire Council as part of his report of 21st November 2003. Supporting papers to these calculations have been requested and reviewed. Unless otherwise stated I have found no matters within these calculations that I believe could properly have been treated differently.

2. Appraisal of options based on those outlined in your paper dated 21st November 2003 to include a sensitivity analysis

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 This section reproduces the analysis contained in the paper prepared by the Financial Services Manager, with an appraisal and sensitivity analysis included where appropriate.

2.2 Cost/Benefit Analysis

- 2.2.1 The starting point comes down to a basic analysis of comparing
 - The financial effect of maintaining the set-aside capital receipts on deposit and earning interest for the General Fund, to
 - The savings on Public Works Loans Board debt in the General Fund, by autilising the capital receipts now to redeem that debt.
- 2.2.2 The analysis prepared by the Finance Services Manager covers a period to the year 2039 (which is when all Public Works Loans Board debt would have naturally matured). It assumes that the debt is fully redeemed during the last quarter of 2003/04, and the first full year effect is therefore from 2004/05.
- 2.2.3 The table below provides a summary.

Years	2004/07	2007/10	2010/22	2022/39	TOTAL
(note 1)	(3 years)	(3 years)	(12 years)	(17 years)	(35 years)
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£,000	£'000
Savings in Public	1,844	1,762	6,292	2,041	11,939
Works Loans Board					
Interest Payments	1.		radion para la la la		
(note 2)					
Amount lost in	-146	-146	-585	-828	-1,705
Housing Subsidy					
(note 3)					
Loss of Deposit	-1,089	-1,067	-4,026	-2,965	-9,147
Interest	La taranta and				
(note 4)					
Net Savings/	609	549	1,681	-1,752	1,087
Cost (-)			April production of the second		L. C.
(note 5)					and a second
Average per Year	203	183	140	-103	-
Net Present Value	569	464	1,129	-683	1,479
(note 6)					
Average per year	190	155	94	-40	A Principal
-					

Appleby Business Centre, Bridge Street, Appleby in Westmorland, Cumbria CA16 6QH Telephone: 017683-52347 or 52165 Mobile: 07971-321863. Fax: 017683-52546. E-Mail: Adrian.waite@awics.co.uk. Website: www.awics.co.uk.

Note 1 - Analysis of Years

The Finance Services Manager has split the years on the following basis:

- 2004/07 the Council's immediate financial planning period.
- □ 2008/10 the following 3-year rolling period.
- □ 2011/22 the longer term until the benefit turns into an overall cost.
- 2023/39 the period when there is an overall cost.

This appears to be an appropriate way in which to regard the 36 year period under consideration.

Note 2 - Savings in Public Works Loans Board Interest Payments

The Finance Services Manager considers that these savings would be certain as interest payments are fixed and known over the life of individual loans. This is an appropriate assumption to make as I understand that there is no facility to switch any of these loans from a fixed rate to a variable rate basis.

Note 3 - Amount lost in Housing Subsidy

The amount included in the Finance Services Manager's calculations represents the difference between what would have been transferred to the Housing Revenue Account (and reimbursed via subsidy) and the lower amount with no Public Works Loans Board debt. This amount is mainly fixed and can be reasonably predicted. It also takes into account the new arrangements from the Prudential System for Capital Finance.

The calculation therefore considers the gross debt of the Council and the gross costs and benefits of early debt repayment – including both General Fund and Housing Revenue Account elements, and nets of these figures the loss of housing subsidy as a result of lower capital financing costs.

Note 4 - Loss of Deposit Interest

The Finance Services Manager has calculated this to represent the <u>potential</u> loss of interest on bank deposits, which would reduce considerably if they were used to repay Public Works Loans Board, including the penalty payment of around £2.5m. The current average interest rate being earned of 3.46% has been used. This amount reduces over the years as it has been assumed that it would be used to repay debt in any case as required over the 35-year period.

The Royal Bank of Scotland publishes a regular Interest and Exchange Rate Outlook' the latest of which was published in December 2003. This report states that:

"The Bank of England kept interest rates on hold at 3.75% in December, as we had expected, following last month's rate rise... We... anticipate a series of quarter-point interest rate increases next year – following the MPC meetings on 5th February, 6th May, 5th August and 4th November, which coincide with the committee's quarterly forecasting round – to take UK rates back up to 4.75% by the end of next year. We... expect interest rates to remain on hold throughout 2005."

In the longer-term interest rates in the United Kingdom are likely to be affected by whether the Euro is adopted as the currency. It is generally thought that lower rates of interest would be experienced if the United Kingdom chose to join the Euro.

It is always difficult to project interest rates with accuracy, especially into the longer term and so the use of the current rate of 3.46% could be considered reasonable. However, it is prudent to carry out a sensitivity analysis on variations in interest rates.

Note 5 - Net Savings/Cost

The Finance Services Manager has calculated the net savings or cost as the net effect of items 2 to 4 in cash terms.

Note 6 – Net Present Value

Net Present Value is the accepted methodology used in such circumstances, and the Finance Services Manager states that he has calculated it in accordance with the Government's "Green Book." It compares costs and benefits that occur in different time periods.

It uses a discount rate (3.5%) to calculate the present value of the differences and produces a Net Present Value. If the total Net Present Value is positive, then the basis of what is being reviewed (in this case repaying debt) is considered to be viable. The rate of 3.5% is set by the government and its use is therefore appropriate in this case.

The Net Present Value is effectively taking into account the future value of money, i.e. £1 today will be worth less in say 10 years. This does not have any real effect in the short term, but is more of a guide to future values in the longer term.

2.2.4 Commentary white a particular state of the control of the co

The Finance Services Manager's calculation of Net Present Value in total is £1.479m and is positive. As stated in note 6 above, this makes the option viable as the Net Present Value indicates overall savings.

Analysing the figures further indicates quite significant cash savings in the short to medium term of approximately £1.1m to 2010. Even at the discounted level, it still equates to over £1m in this period. The savings continue to accrue for a total of 18 years up to the year 2022.

Beyond this, the loss of deposit interest would be greater than the saving in debt payments. This is more acute from 2027, and in cash terms over 17 years amounts to around £103,000 per year. However, the Net Present Value, which is probably a fairer reflection due to the time period involved, equates to approximately £40,000 per year.

2.2.5 Sensitivity Analysis – Interest Rates

As previously highlighted the interest on the Public Works Loans Board debt is fixed and the housing subsidy element is fairly stable and is not considered material here in any case. Effectively, the only variable is the interest rate used for deposit interest.

The current rate of 3.46% is likely to change. As noted above, recent market indications suggest that this will be upwards over at least the next 18 months, possibly hitting around 5% at sometime during 2005. The tables below show the Finance Services Manager's calculation of the effect of an increase in rates at various levels.

♦ At an average rate of 4%

Years	2004/07 (3 years)	2007/10 (3 years)	2010/22 (12 years)	2022/39 (17 years)	TOTAL (35 years)
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Net Savings/ Cost (-)	439	383	1,053	-2,215	-340
Average per Year	146	127	88	-130	
Net Present Value	410	323	715	-877	571
Average per year	137	108	60	-52	No.

Appleby Business Centre, Bridge Street, Appleby in Westmorland, Cumbria CA16 6QH Telephone: 017683-52347 or 52165 Mobile: 07971-321863. Fax: 017683-52546. E-Mail: Adrian.waite@awics.co.uk. Website: www.awics.co.uk.

This is effectively the break-even point and shows that even with a relatively small increase in interest rates there would be an overall cost over 35 years, as the potential interest on bank deposits outweighs savings on debt interest.

However, the Net Present Value remains positive because the cash advantages are mainly experienced during the beginning of the period, and there would still be quite considerable savings for 18 years, the discounted cost from 2022 onwards being relatively small.

• At an average rate of 4.5%

	Years	2004/07	2007/10	2010/22	2022/39	TOTAL
	in yan sha wa	(3 years)	(3 years)	(12 years)	(17 years)	(35 years)
		F)				
at early and a second	estisi sepakati eduki	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
	Net Savings/	281	229	472	-2,644	-1,662
14, +14				t are a	11.	
teas not a	Average per Year	94	76	39	-156	
and a single remarkation	a selava bines quippelini		1	440A 16534	144	
and the second	Net Present Value	263	193	332	-1,057	-269
	g Ayyakariyaga sasa sasar					
	Average per year	88	64	28	-62	
The first seed of the seed of		.3	1		£,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

The Finance Services Manager has calculated that at this level, the Net Present Value becomes negative, which according to the Government's "Green Book" would not make this option viable. However, there would still be savings for 18 years, albeit much lower compared to present rates. The discounted loss value over the later years is still fairly small on average.

♦ At an average rate of 5.5%

Years	2004/07 (3 years)	2007/10 (3 years)	2010/22 (12 years)	2022/39 (17 years)	TOTAL (35 years)
and the state of t	£,000	£,000	£'000	£,000	£'000
Net Cost	-34	-79	-692	-3,501	-4,306
Net Present Value	-31	-66	-434	-1,416	-1,947

This shows that at an average interest rate of 5.5%, the option of repaying debt is not viable from the first year as the potential interest earned on deposits would be more than the savings on debt repayment.

Appleby Business Centre, Bridge Street, Appleby in Westmorland, Cumbria CA16 6QH Telephone: 017683-52347 or 52165 Mobile: 07971-321863. Fax: 017683-52546. E-Mail: Adrian.waite@awics.co.uk. Website: www.awics.co.uk.

10

2.3.1 The table below sets out and assesses the potential risks and implications involved that have been identified by the Finance Services Manager.

Risk de de de deservices gate de de de de de	Likelihood	Comments & Effect
Challenge of legally capitalising the penalty payment.	Very Low	Finance Services Manager - This has been a "grey area" for sometime. The uncertainty was removed by recent regulations and the Audit Commission has effectively confirmed these. However, the option will be removed when the new Prudential System is
	tutija His	introduced on 1 st April 2004. Adrian Waite – This matter is considered in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.3.
Future regulations allow spending of set-aside receipts.	Low	Finance Services Manager - Some authorities have retained receipts in the hope of this. It has been considered previously to boost the economy in times of recession. There are technical and logistical problems around this issue, which is why it has not yet been implemented. Adrian Waite — The Treasury takes account
	i de la companya dela companya de la	of set-aside receipts when calculating the public sector borrowing requirement, so in
		macro-economic terms the government considers that this money has already been spent. Government also wishes to see resources allocated on the basis of need rather than on the basis of past levels of capital receipts. It is therefore unlikely that set aside receipts will become available to fund future expenditure.
Increase in Interest Rates on short term deposits	High	Finance Services Manager - As per the previous sensitivity analysis. Although the figures in the model are the potential returns and which are being earned now, the Council's current 3-year financial projection does not yet reflect the full implications. Therefore, there are additional savings compared to the current base budget. This is considered further below. Adrian Waite – My observations are shown below.