## ANNEXE 'A'

REPORT TO:

FINANCE AND MANAGEMENT

COMMITTEE

DATE OF MEETING: 15th JANUARY 2004

CATEGORY: RECOMMENDED

REPORT FROM:

**CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER** 

MEMBERS'

**KEVIN STACKHOUSE (595811)** 

DOC: u/ks/budget200405/ Consolidated January Report

SUBJECT: CONSOLIDATED REVENUE

**BUDGETS & CAPITAL** 

PROGRAMME 2003/2004 AND

2004/2005

WARD(S) AFFECTED: ALL

CONTACT POINT:

TERMS OF

REFERENCE: FM08

# 1.0 Recommendations

- 1.1 That the estimates of revenue income and expenditure for 2003/04 and 2004/05 for the General Fund are considered and a level of income and expenditure approved.
- 1.2 That the proposals for revenue service developments for 2004/05 are considered.
- 1.3 That the proposals for new capital investment for 2004/05 are considered.
- That the revised 3-year financial projection on the General Fund be noted. 1.4
- 1.5 That the financial position of the Council's Housing Revenue Account be noted.
- 1.6 That a level of Council Tax for 2004/05 be proposed.
- 1.7 That the information stemming from recommendations 1.1 to 1.6 be used as the basis for the budget consultation process.

# 2.0 Purpose of Report

- 2.1 To detail the Council's overall financial position for the 2004/05 budget round, which has been considered by the Service and Financial Planning Working Group on 15<sup>th</sup> December 2003 and 7<sup>th</sup> January 2004. Essentially, it builds on the projections and financial strategy approved by this Committee in July 2003, which also reported the budget out-turn position for 2002/03. The report covers the following:
  - □ The Council's annual financial settlement for 2004/05
  - □ The General Fund 3-year financial projection
  - Risk Analysis
  - Council Tax levels
  - Proposals for revenue service improvements 2004/05
  - The Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

- Capital Resources
- Prudential Borrowing
- Proposals for new capital investment 2004/05

# 3.0 Detail

# The Council's Annual Financial Settlement 2004/05

- 3.1 This is an annual statement that provides local authorities with the level of Government funding for the next financial year. It is a crucial element for this Council as it forms the main income stream for funding General Fund services.
- 3.2 The original settlement received in November 2003, indicated an increase for the Council of 2.4% (the average for Districts across the Country) and equated to approximately £113,000 per year. However, due to a change in the method of providing subsidy for rent allowance and council tax benefit, the Council loses approximately £128,500 per year, which gave a potential reduction in grant of £15,000 per year.
- 3.3 In addition, the Council continues to be substantially below the Government's funding formula, i.e. the Government's assessment of what the Council should receive to provide an adequate level of service. For 2003/04, the Government had capped increases in grant to protect those authorities that were worse off under the new Government formula. This Council should have received an increase of around 25%, but was capped at 12.5%.
- 3.4 This dampening of grant has continued for 2004/05. Out of 240 District Councils, South Derbyshire has the 30<sup>th</sup> biggest shortfall in grant. Due to this and the overall reduction in grant for 2004/05, the Council made representations to the Government over its position.
- 3.5 On 10<sup>th</sup> December 2003, the Chancellor made his pre-budget speech in which he indicated additional resources for local authorities of around £340m. This effectively changed the original announcement and subsequently new figures were issued to all Councils.
- 3.6 For South Derbyshire, the headline increase was 6.1% (District average was 4%), and equates to additional grant of approximately £290,000 per year in total. However, after allowing for the reduction in benefit subsidy (£128,500), the overall increase is £161,500 (3.1%). However, the Council is still substantially underfunded compared to the Government's formula by approximately £1/2m.
- 3.7 These latest figures have been built into the Council's financial projection. However, it should be noted that these figures are still **provisional** as they are subject to consultation. This period ends on the 9<sup>th</sup> January 2004.

# General Fund 3-year Financial Projection

3.8 This is detailed in **Appendix 1** and summarised in the table below. This does not take into account any resources that still may be allocated to meet new spending demands.

	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
DETAIL	£	£	£	esse £
Net Revenue Spending	9,070,205	8,710,945	9,457,442	10,025,436
Less Financing Government Grants Council Tax From Earmarked Reserves	5,199,325 3,432,209 359.360	5,022,461 3,681,276 65,940	5,122,910 3,898,600 53,137	5,225,368 4,151,563 54,996
Gross Deficit/Surplus (-)	79,311	-58,732	382,795	593,509
Projected Balances	2,389,624	2,448,356	2,065,561	1,472,052

- 3.9 The Council's overall financial position on its General Fund continues to stay fairly healthy, with projected balances (before any new spending is approved) well above the level of £1/2m approved as part of the Council's financial strategy. This is mainly due to increases in income from Planning and Building Regulation Fees, together with bank interest being earned on the Council's "set-aside" capital receipts.
- 3.10 In addition, the Council no longer needs to provide for the "Commutation Adjustment" as the Government has relaxed the requirement to meet future costs associated with this issue. The previous 3-year projection approved by the Council in July 2003 had planned to contribute around £575,000 into an earmarked reserve. This is no longer required.
- 3.11 The increase in resources has allowed some additional spending to be accommodated within the above projection. For example:
  - A provision for further increases in contributions to the County Council's Pension Fund, based on an interim valuation.
  - Additional costs associated with the Local Plan Inquiry in 2003/2004.
  - □ The phasing in of increases in Members' Allowances over this planning period.
- 3.12 In addition, the projection also takes into account some contingencies, mainly relating to a fall in income (from current levels) in the last 2 years of this planning period. The projection allows for increases in Council Tax of 4.5% in the 3 years 2004-2007.
- 3.13 The other policy committees (including a separate report to this Committee) have considered in detail the probable out-turn for 2003/04 and base budget for 2004/05. Appendix 2 provides a summary of the main changes to the projection from that approved in July 2003.

- 3.14 Whilst the current 3-year projection remains healthy, the position in the longer term gives cause for concern. There are still underlying budget pressures, as evidenced by the forecasted budget deficits in 2006 and 2007.
- 3.15 The extent of the deficit is increasing each year as projected spending is rising at a faster rate than projected income. In the medium term, action will need to be taken to address this position to reduce the impact on limited Council reserves.

# Risk Analysis

3.16 There is a range of factors that will have an impact on the above projection and these are considered in the table below, alongside proposed action to address these financial risks.

Risk	的工程的 MESE		Action	स्ताना क्षा विकास स्तानिक विकास विकास विकास का कार्यों के का
Insurance Costs sharply over the la continue to rise an improve, this coul increased burden	ast 2 years. If th nd/or claims do d place an	ese	costs. Ins Risk Man claims. R	reflects increased insurance urers working with Council's agement Group to reduce e-tendering the current contract is being considered as
Pension Costs – a significant incre contributions from 2005/06.	ase in employer the next valuat		projection of the Per now depe	ency has been built into the is based on an interim valuation nsion Fund. Actual costs will end on performance of the Fund next year.
planning fees and income – planning can fluctuate with economy and hou general. This can Council's control.	bank deposit g fees in particulathe state of the use building in the beyond the	lar	reserves provide a projection by 2006/0	icult to assess. General maintained at a higher level to more realistic cushion and is assume a reduction in income of compared to current levels.
Affordability of L pressures – for e collection, recyclir national targets.	xample in refus	е	higher level in addition meet a kee the current services. of mapping council specifies the change in additional mapping council specifies and the change in additional mapping i	reserves being maintained at a yel to provide a realistic cushion. In a key milestone for 2004/05 to be aim of the Council is to extend in "scoring system" to existing This tool to be used as a means and out a plan for reprioritising bending to ensure that it meets ging needs of local residents and and national priorities.
Loss of Government census data from fed into Government 2005/06. Census Council lower that population estimate formula.	2001 likely to be ent's formula from figure for the in the current	е	how the for authoritie represent to the Gorapparent	y uncertain, as it will depend on ormula works relative to other s. Should continue to make tations (along with family group) vernment concerning the shortfall in current funding under rnment's formula.

Programmed funding – increasingly being used by the Government to fund certain areas such as Planning, Benefits and Crime & Disorder.
Although Government grant in these areas has been used for more "one-off" spending, additional staff likely to be employed on an on-going basis. Question over the continuation of Government support in the longer term.

General reserves being maintained at a higher level to provide a realistic cushion. Should be monitored on a regular basis.

# Council Tax Levels

- 3.17 As stated earlier, the projection builds in increases of 4.5% per year for the next 3 years to 2006/07. It should be noted that the tax base and surplus or deficit on the Council's Collection Fund that are included in the projection for 2004/05, still need to be approved by Council on 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2004. Although these may well vary, they should not have any significant effect on the overall position.
- 3.18 In providing the additional resources on 10<sup>th</sup> December, a Government statement made it clear that they expected to see council tax increases "in low single figures." In addition, they reiterated their intention to use their powers to cap increases for individual authorities if they felt this was necessary.
- 3.19 In the last 2 years, the Council has set relatively low increases (3.9% and 3.5%). Based on a 4.5% increase in 2004/05, 3-year balances are projected at approximately £1,472,000 as at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2007.

# Proposals for Revenue Service Improvements 2004/05

3.20 The new spending proposals submitted by Divisional Managers are summarised in **Appendix 3.** These were scored against criteria approved by this Committee and members have previously received full details of all bids submitted. The Service and Financial Planning Working Group were due to meet on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2004 to discuss these proposals and an update will be provided at the meeting.

# The Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

- 3.21 This has been considered in detail by the Housing and Community Services Committee, including the increase in the level of council house rents for 2004/05. The financial projection is summarised in **Appendix 4.**
- 3.22 To satisfy the work programme required for the Stock Options Review, a financial forecast has been made to 2010; i.e. the Government's target date for achieving the Decent Homes Standard. This shows that the HRA will fall into deficit from 2006/07, this deficit increasing year on year to 2009/10.
- 3.23 Due to the current level of HRA balances, this deficit can be sustained until 2008/09, after which the level of balances will fall to just above the minimum level of £1/2m. By 2009/10, the HRA will have an accumulated **deficit of around £267,000**.
- 3.24 As previous HRA reports have highlighted, this is due to the continuing reduction in the HRA's income base, i.e. rents (a combination of lower rents overall due to rent

- restructuring together with council house sales) and housing subsidy, again due to council house sales reducing Government subsidy.
- 3.25 Some further analysis, especially around sensitivity, is being undertaken for the Stock Options Review. In addition, the Housing and Community Services Committee considered the main financial risks associated with the projection.
- 3.26 Housing repairs spend in particular, continues to be reviewed, as this is perhaps the main area of cost where there may be some degree of flexibility and control. There may also be potential for using capital resources to finance some of the projected repairs expenditure. However, this could have an impact on the level of resources for other capital investment elsewhere in the Council.
- 3.27 The base estimates make no provision for any service improvements over the 2004 to 2010 planning period. Any growth in service provision during this period could result in the HRA falling below its minimum level of balances much earlier.
- 3.28 In essence, the Council will need to take some tough decisions to decide whether it can achieve savings of £767,000 over the next 6 years (i.e. to offset the deficit of £267,000 and maintain a minimum balance of £0.5m). Effectively, this will require savings of approximately £130,000 to be achieved in each of the next 6 years before taking into account any additional savings to finance service improvements.
- 3.29 As agreed by Housing and Community Services Committee recently there is also a need to drive forward performance improvement and there is a limit to what can be achieved with current staffing levels without these service developments. Inevitably there is a balance between any service development and affordability, which members will need to consider.

## Capital Resources

- 3.30 As reported to this Committee in October 2003, with effect from 1 April 2004, Government funding in the form of "Credit Approvals" to support local authority borrowing will cease to exist. In accordance with the Government's new Prudential System, councils will be free to borrow money within a pre-determined local limit, as long as they can demonstrate that the interest charges associated with it are affordable and sustainable in the medium to longer term.
- 3.31 The Government is continuing to support new capital investment. However, the Council will probably need to continue to finance most of its new capital investment from its own resources generated from asset sales (mainly council houses and land). In addition, the Council is also relying more heavily on partnership funding.
- 3.32 In the last 2 to 3 years, asset sales have been fairly significant, and the Council has not yet committed all of its useable receipts from previous years. In addition, the Council has generated a relatively significant amount this year, mainly through Council House sales.
- 3.33 However, in accordance with Government regulations, the Council is only allowed to spend 25% from the sale proceeds of housing assets, the remaining 75% has to be set-aside for debt repayment; 100% of the proceeds from non-housing assets can be re-invested.
- 3.34 Furthermore, with effect from April 2004, these set aside amounts will be paid direct to the Government. All receipts will then be **pooled** nationally and redistributed

based on regional strategies. Certain receipts, for example sales of mortgage portfolios, empty properties and unused land, are not subject to pooling if they are reinvested in "Regeneration or Affordable Housing."

3.35 Given this, the Council can expect to have the following resources available to finance new capital investment from 2004/2005.

on the attractive algorithms in the state of	£'000	
and an individual and the control of	e e developed	
Government Allocation – Single Capital Pot	534	
Useable Capital Receipts	2,225	
Total Resources	2,759	
Less: Approved Commitments		-
	na Approba	
Private Sector Renewal Grants 2004/05	550	
Contribution to Maurice Lea Memorial Park	137	
Potential Contribution to Etwall Leisure Centre	250	
Geographical Survey in Gresley Cemetery 2004/05	10	
Renovation of Cemeteries (4-year programme to 2006/07))	75	
Repayment of Covenants 2004/05	470	
Resources now Available	1.267	

- 3.36 The Council has complete freedom in how it uses these resources. However, they are finite and once used, there is no guarantee that they will be replenished in the future. In addition, the following should be noted:
  - This does not take into account any receipts that are likely to be generated in 2004/05.
  - Additional Government funding for Disabled Facility Grants not yet known.
  - □ The Council has previously approved further annual allocations for Private Sector Renewal Grants of £550,000 to 2007/08 depending on available resources.
  - □ Repayment of Covenants will continue to 2009/10 at the rate of around £1/2m per year. This is not avoidable.
  - □ Future Government support not easy to predict due to pooling of capital receipts and resource allocation via Regional Boards.

# Major Repairs Allowance (MRA)

- 3.37 In addition to the above resources, the Council also receives the MRA, which is the main capital allocation provided by the Government to finance capital investment in council housing. It is calculated on a formula basis that reflects the age and condition of a Council's housing stock. It is effectively paid as a fixed amount per property.
- 3.38 The Government want to see these resources invested in the stock to help Council's meet the Decent Homes Targets in 2004 and 2010. The Council's allocation over the next 3 years is expected to be as follows:

	2003/04 (Actual)	£1.90m
on which is implicated by the	2004/05 (Provisional)	£1.87m
	2005/06 (Projected)	£1.85m
	2006/07 (Projected)	£1.84m

3.38 The Council has been utilising this funding mainly for major refurbishment's (kitchens and bathrooms) together with window and central heating replacements. The yearly programme is set and monitored by the Housing and Community Services Committee.

# **Prudential Borrowing**

- 3.39 As highlighted earlier, the Council is now free to borrow money within a predetermined local limit, as long as it can demonstrate that the interest charges and debt repayment associated with it are affordable and sustainable in the medium to longer term.
- 3.40 The local limit will be based on a measure of the Council's overall indebtedness (net value of its fixed assets, less debt and other long-term liabilities). It is likely to be around £18.8m (based on figures contained in the 2002/03 statement of accounts).
- 3.41 However, it is not the limit in itself that is critical, but rather the affordability of the resultant debt charges. For illustration and using current interest rates, every £100,000 borrowed would incur annual interest charges of around £5,000, plus principal repayments depending on the type and term of the loan. The term of the loan should be determined by the period from which benefits are likely to accrue.

# New Capital Investment Proposals 2004/05

3.41 The new spending proposals submitted by Divisional Managers are summarised in **Appendix 5.** These were scored against criteria approved by this Committee and members have previously received full details of all bids submitted. The Service and Financial Planning Working Group were due to meet on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2004 to discuss these proposals and an update will be provided at the meeting.

androvije nekovi obodij graj pijek pirijejete karje grove grje sa obara boro kraj proje

# 4.0 Financial Implications

- 4.1 As detailed in the report
- 5.0 Corporate Implications
- 5.1 As detailed in the report
- 6.0 Community Implications
- 6.1 As detailed in the report

# 7.0 Conclusions

7.1 The Committee is requested to consider carefully the income and expenditure proposals (including proposed new spending) for the Council in the light of its overall financial position, and to approve a set of proposals to be used as the basis for budget consultation

# 8.0 Background Papers

- □ The Local Government Finance Settlement (and associated papers) 2004/05
- □ Housing Subsidy Determination (and associated papers) 2004/05
- Budget Out-turn 2002/03, Financial Strategy and Updated Financial Projections to 2005/06 (as reported to Finance & Management Committee 24<sup>th</sup> July 2003)

# A MANUK T

# 3-Year Financial Forecast (2004/05 Budget Round)

DETAIL	Approved Estimate 2003/04	<u>Probable</u> <u>Out-turn</u> <u>2003/04</u> £	<u>Base</u> <u>Budget</u> <u>2004/05</u>	Projection 2005/06 £	Projection 2006/07 £
Net Committee Spending	9,818,210	10,938,410	8,669,930	9,180,575	9,673,580
Less: Capital Adjustments					
Capital Financing Adjustment	-589,030	-515,275	-26,612	-15,648	9,546
Adjustment for Deferred Charges	0	-1,165,865	0	0	0
Commutation Adjustment	-265,565	-265,565	-175,973	-133,000	-76,000
Overall Net Spending	8,963,615	8,991,705	8,467,345	9,031,927	9,607,126
Contingencies					
Bad and Doubtful Debts	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
On-going Revenue Costs of new Capital Projects	0	0	0	50,000	50,000
Known Variations to Base Budget					
Car Leasing Scheme/Cash Alternative		0	0	-19,000	-56,600
Legal & Professional Fees	30,000	30,000	0	0	0
Local Plan	0	0	25,000	12,880	12,880
Backfunded Pensions falling out	0	0	0	-55,000	-60,000
Capital Charges falling out	0	0	0	-17,100	-33,450
Increase in Pension Contributions		0	0	186,000	186,000
Increase in Members' Allowances	0	0	0	25,210	53,030
Rent Rebate Subsidy from HRA falling out	0	0	0	23,925	47,850
Financial Management System - Running Costs	0	0	25,000	25,000	25,000
Revenues & Benefits - Partnership Contribution	0	0	80,000	80,000	80,000
Revenues & Benefits - Verification Framework	0	0	40,000	40,000	40,000

# APPENDIX -

# GENERAL FUND REVENUE ACCOUNT 3-Year Financial Forecast (2004/05 Budget Round)

DETAIL	Approved Estimate 2003/04	Probable Out-turn 2003/04	Base Budget 2004/05	Projection 2005/06 £	Projection 2006/07 £
Annual Regradings Maurice Lee Park - Running Costs	0	8,500	9,600	9,600	9,600
NET REVENUE EXPENDITURE	9,033,615	9,070,205	8,710,945	9,457,442	10,025,436
FINANCING (Income)					
Government (ODPM) Formula Grant	. Mentioner of the contraction o				
Revenue Support Grant	2,347,067	2,347,067	2,737,785	2,792,541	2,848,392
Redistributed Business Rates	2,852,258	2,852,258	2,284,676	2,330,370	2,376,977
Total - Formula Grant	5,199,325	5,199,325	5,022,461	5,122,910	5,225,368
Council Tax	3,432,209	3,432,209	3,681,276	3,898,600	4,151,563
Total - External Income	8,631,534	8,631,534	8,703,737	9,021,510	9,376,931
Contributions from Earmarked Reserves					
	21,000	155,400	21,600	22,356	23,138
Section 106 - Swadlincote Woodlands	23,000	20,490	29,740	30,781	31,858
DSO Reserve (Depot Alterations)	0	21,550	0	0	0
Commitments		161,920	14,600	0	0
	grandskings (kinistriansprantensensche Statistismeter	refriimmund ) Andréis (Antico Christian (Antico	₹18477-990/ne≤60}4;Diricily¢ico.17do-candesjadors12038)	esca (chimas (do zoni de Scoco do VI sonia) do escani (si portico) (si	3 - Act Then 1705 is constant with the history was a constant to
TOTAL FINANCING	8,675,534	8,990,894	0,769,677	9,074,647	9,431,928

# APPENDIX 1

# 3-Year Financial Forecast (2004/05 Budget Round)

DETAIL	Approved Estimate 2003/04	Probable Out-turn 2003/04 £	Base Budget 2004/05	Projection 2005/06	$\frac{\text{Projection}}{2006/07}$
Surplus/Deficit(-) for the Year	-358,081	#79,314	58,732	-382,795 -	-593,508

e S
Reserves
armarked
ns to E
DIG
s: Con
ess

Commutation Adjustment	265,565	0	0	0	0
****	70,000	0	0		
Transfer to/from (-) General Reserves	-693,646		58,732	-382,795	-593,508

# GENERAL RESERVES

Balance as at 1st April	2,468,935	2,468,935 2,468,935		2,389,624 2,448,356 2,065,561	2,065,561
Transfer (as above)	-693,646	-79,311	58,732	-382,795	-593,508
	On plant to make the major make an appropriate property of the	ATTENDED TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL	AFFERNOOT VERNOLEDINGELEE NEW TOTAL STEERING TO THE STANGEST OF THE STANGEST O	NOTO REPORTED THE SECOND CONTRACTOR OF THE SEC	
Balance as at 31st March	1,775,289	2,389,624	2,448,356	2,065,561	1,472,053

# General Fund - Changes to 3-Year Projection

# (4 years 2003/04 Probable to 2006/07 Projection)

	£	£
Projected Balances (in July 2003) @ 31/03/06		040 500
110300004 Edillinous (ill stary 2003) (ill 51705)(00		840,500
Additional Costs (to 2005/06)		
New Spending approved in July	121,550	
Provision for Pension increase in 2005/06	186,000	
Additional costs of Local Plan Inquiry (03/04 only)	100,000	
Increased Audit Fees	67,000	
Increase in Members' Allowances	257,500	
Reduction in Debt Charges recharged to HRA	231,250	N. Wi
General Fund Subsidy for Rent Rebates (from 05/06)	24,000	
Provision for Interim Local Plan	30,000	
Verification Framework (Funded by Benefit Grant)	80,000	
Provision for Annual Regradings	20,000	
Provision for on-going costs of new Capital Projects	50,000	
		1,167,300
and the second of the second o		
Additional Income (to 2005/06)	is Sanan Albaharia	
Planning & Building Regs Fees 456,000		* **
Bank Deposit Income 703,500		
Increase in Government Grant (compared to July forecast) 40,000		
Housing Benefit Admin Grant (incl 03/04) 566,250		
Increase in Tax Base and Tax Collection 45,000		
	1,810,750	
Add - No requirement to provide for Commutation	574,500	
Total - Favourable Variances		2,385,250
Add - Other Variances (Net)	:	7,050
		7,000
Less - Projected Deficit 2006/07	A second	593,500
		000,000
Projected Balances @ 31st March 2007		1,472,000

# REVENUE SPENDING BIDS (DECEMBER 2003) - OVERALL SCORES

53 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	LSP & Preparation of Community Strategy  Crime & Disorder Audit and Strategy  Extra staff to meet national standards & targets (Planning)  Destination Management System (EconDev/Tourism)  Anti Social Behaviour Officer  Extension of Compost Scheme  Development of Footpath Walks & Leaflets  Community Drugs Officer  Litter Plan  Inspection of Play Areas & Memorials  Asset Management Officer  Crime & Disorder Support Team  Kerbsisde Collection Scheme  Environmental Education Scheme  Environmental Education Scheme  Licensing Enforcement Officer  Vouth Engagement Through Sport  SportsBreak Project  National Forest Tourism Partnership Literature	60,000 143,900 12,300 35,000 81,000 21,000 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000 9,000	48 36 32 32 36 36 26 26 26 26	auch as seesaduliharibbanadisis dirikateserib		1			
16 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 18 18 18 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 18 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	udit and Strategy rational standards & targets (Planning) rational standards & targets (Planning) rement System (EconDev/Tourism)  ur Officer ost Scheme oreas & Memorials Officer upport Team n Scheme sation Scheme cation Scheme Through Sport Trough Sport transport Term n Scheme sation Scheme tent Officer Through Sport transport Terman	5,000 143,900 12,300 35,000 81,000 21,000 14,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000	36 32 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 37	~	6	3	7.2	20k per year	· · ·
21 21 30 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	national standards & targets (Planning) ament System (EconDev/Tourism) ur Officer bot Scheme Oppath Walks & Leaflets Officer uveas & Memorials Officer upport Team n Scheme cation Scheme station Scheme tent Officer Through Sport trantism Partnership Literature	143,900 12,300 35,000 81,000 21,000 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000	38 32 36 36 28 28 28	12	10		65	One-off	
3 30 30 31 32 33 33 33 33 34 41 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	ur Officer ost Scheme ost Scheme ost Scheme Othorith Walks & Leaflets Officer ureas & Memorials Officer upport Team n Scheme cation Scheme that Officer Through Sport Trough Sport Trough Sport Trough Sport Trough Sport Through Sport	12,300 35,000 81,000 21,000 14,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000	32 26 36 28 26	4	10	6	6.1	2 posts - possible funding from Grant	
21 30 30 18 18 41 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	ur Officer Streeme Streeme Streeme Streeme Streeme Streeme Officer Upport Team In Scheme Streeme Stree	35,000 81,000 21,000 14,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000	26 36 28 26	12	10	4	చి		
30 18 18 41 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	ost Scheme Otpath Walks & Leaflets Officer veas & Memorials Officer upport Team n Scheme cation Scheme ont Officer Through Sport Through Sport timm Partnership Literature	81,000 21,000 14,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000	36 28 26	46	රා	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	58	тейнол (Можно должно	
18 18 33 33 441 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	otpath Walks & Leaflets  Officer weas & Memorials Officer upport Team n Scheme cation Scheme that Officer Through Sport Through Sport that Officer Through Sport through Sport	21,000 14,500 296,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 20,000 9,000	28	ω	6	က	56		
18 33 41 17 17 17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Officer weas & Memorials Officer upport Team n Scheme sation Scheme cation Scheme Through Sport Through Sport than Partnership Literature	14,500 296,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 9,000	26	12	3	11	54	2 years only	
33 41 17 17 17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 10 20 44 45 42	vreas & Memorials Officer Upport Team In Scheme Sation Scheme In Officer In Chicer In Chicer In Chicer In Chicer It In Partnership Literature	296,500 75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 20,000 9,000		12	9	8	52		
41 17 17 17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	vreas & Memorials  Officer upport Team n Scheme sation Scheme tent Officer Through Sport through Sport transmip Literature	75,000 96,304 12,000 274,000 20,000 9,000	33	12	9	0	51	Various options available	
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	Officer upport Team n Scheme sation Scheme Abuse Service rent Officer Through Sport t	96,304 12,000 274,000 20,000 9,000	32	4	14	0	20	£25k per year	
177 32 32 44 144 178 178 189 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 19	upport Team n Scheme sation Scheme Abuse Service rent Officer Through Sport t	12,000 274,000 20,000 9,000	26	8	10	4	48		
32 14 14 17 17 26 27 27 27 26 19 9 9 9 46 46 46 42 42 42 44 42	n Scheme cation Scheme Abuse Service rent Officer Through Sport t	274,000 20,000 9,000	26	12	3	9	47		
24 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6	cation Scheme Abuse Service lent Officer Through Sport I	20,000	26	8	6	4	47	DEFRA Bid COULD fund 50% of costs	
24 11 26 27 27 19 19 19 19 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	s Abuse Service lent Officer Through Sport I	9,000	18	12	9	6	45		
26 27 27 27 19 19 19 9 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	ent Officer Through Sport I rism Partnership Literature	The same of the sa	22	12	ಌ	ප	45		
26 27 27 4 4 4 4 4 19 9 9 9 9 2 2 2 55 2 46 46 46 42	Through Sport t rrism Partnership Literature	76,572	22	4	13	<b>4</b>	43	Possible income generation	
27 4 4 4 19 12 9 9 9 2 2 2 2 46 46 42 42 42 42	r Irism Partnership Literature	45,000	22	8	3	6	42		
4 4 19 19 9 9 9 55 55 55 46 46 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42	ırism Partnership Literature	5,000	22	8	3	6	42	One-off	
19 9 2 2 2 55 16 46 46 42 42 42		9,300	18	12.	3	ထ	41		
12 9 2 55 55 46 46 42	Reduction Project	30,000	16	12	3	10	Ų.	A COMMANDE HAVE AND A COMMAND	
9 2 55 46 20 42	sistant	58,397	22	4	10	4	40	Possible income generation	
2 55 55 46 20 42	P/T Building Control Surveyor & Increased Consultancy	27,000	16	4	14	4	38	50/50 split between staff & consultant	· · ·
55 46 20 42	Contribution to Derby & Sandiacre Canal Trust	5,000	18	8	3	8	37	One-off	- T
46 20 42		15,000	16	8	ಣ	6	36	£5k per year	
20	orts Pavilions	12,000	10	4	21	0	35	Note Capital Bid for all Public Buildings	
42	ship Officer	26,500	16	8	3.	7	34	AA	
	Annual Maintenance to Watercourse at A516 Hatton	000'6	16	4	14	0	34	ландардын жана канадалдандардардардардардардардардардардардардард	
7	Planning Technician - enhance service beyond statutory fargets	72,000	26	4	3	0	33	Possible funding from Grant	
29 40 Increase in Litter Pick	Increase in Litter Picking Schedules (Urban Parks/Grounds)	22,000	14	8	_	3	32	на неранира до положно	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
20	Principal Policy Officer - CPA Action Plan	119,000	12	4	10	9	32	2011 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	· · ·
23	Neighbourhood Wardens Feasibility Study	5,000	.16	8	0	7	3,	One-off	
1	using Support Officer	87,869	14	12	3	2	š	м (даланыя мадуля) и под на п	
45	Invest to Save - Homelessness Initiatives	45,000	14	12	3	2	က	yd mae yd na tha daiddioddioddioddioddiogd yr Alli Tyf Yddid yd mae acae acae acae acae acae acae acae	
48	c Offices	12,000	12	0	18	0	30	Authoritation of the second se	
29	South Derbyshire Cultural & Sports Forum	2,000	14	8	0	9	28	One-off	
36 8 Additional Consultant	Additional Consultancy for Tree Planting & Landscaping	52,500	14	8 8	က	0 %	25		
47	Annual Maintenance at Etwall Squash Court	3,000	12	4		2	25	£1k per annum	
38 10 Extra Licenses for Flare System	lare System	009'9	41	4	6	0	24	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	

# REVENUE SPENDING BIDS (DECEMBER 2003) - OVERALL SCORES

nent	epriletikakan emassa massa sasta massa perilet epriletikakan periletikakan periletikakan periletikakan perilet Periletikakan periletikakan massa periletikakan periletikakan periletikakan periletikakan periletikakan periletik	Character and property of the	And the second s	A COLUMN TO THE TAXABLE PROPERTY OF TAXABLE PR	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		The result of the second secon	Andrew State of the State of th	And the second s	**************************************			and the state of t
Comment	en der	Avergore engres engresses (Vesting 1945/1949/1945/1945/1945/1945/1945/1945/	£10k per annum		VORTER OF THE OWNERS OF VORTERS OF MENGEN AND ACCOUNT ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS AND ACCOUNTS	ere en en eren en eren en eren en e	Very GOOD CAN Also NA FAIRS Out A CANNOT CANNOT CAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	-corm + co + co recolot de la companya de la compa	CONTRACTOR MANAGEMENT TO THE STATE OF THE ST	en militar en fair en eg particular op valadar	One-off	One-off	One-off
Total %	24	23.5		19	13	47		÷.	10	10	œ	9	63
External Finance %	ő	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Category Scores	0	. 7	0	7	<u>_</u>	0	3	3	0	0	9	9	0
Categor National Priorities %	8	0	4	0	0	8	4	0	8	0	0	0	0
Council Priorities %	16	16	16	12	12	2	10	12	2	10	0	0	0
3 year Estimate (NET)	112,871	35,000	30,000	106,000	6,000	22,500	22,500	15,000	15,000	30,000	1,250	10,000	4,000
Bid Ref Scheme/Proposal	55 On Jing Eunding Strangt for Voluntary/Community, Souther	-	22 Community Partnership - Revenue Grants	49 Development of Scrutiny - Improved Officer Support	52 Developing the Scrutiny Process	28 Walking/Physical Activity Scheme	35 Refurbishment of Recycling Centres	31 Reducing Fly Tipping	15 Assistance to SportsPeople Scheme	37 Enhancing condition of Council's Public Toilets	39 Resurfacing Bank House Car Park	54 Resurfacing Parts of Melboune Leisure Centre Car Park	34 Replacement of Car Park Barrier (Civic Offices)
Line No.	39	4 -	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	20	51	52

# TOTAL BIDS SUBMITTED 2,715,913

Accommodate in existing budgets
Deferred - further information required

0

0

0

1,000

SCHEMES NOT SCORED
Selecting RSL Partner for General Purpose Housing Increased Refuse Collection to meet District Growth

Development of Village Plan for Hilton

38

53 55

384,000 5,000

Fund from Section 106 monies

HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT: FINANCIAL PROJECTION 2003/04 TO 2009/10

Projection 2009/10 £	2,969,608 905,547 973,871 8,060 60,000 227,128 1,902,187 2,203,522 0	9,382,833 7,960,943 7,22,731	90,047 75,660 0 0 9,200 337,300 8,595,881	786,952 519,491 786,952 -267,461
Projection 2008/09 £	2,869,186 879,365 945,506 8,060 0 60,000 251,326 2,104,945 2,104,945	9,174,665 9,174,665 7,999,716 118,056	86,617 75,660 0 32,230 337,300 8,649,579	525,085 1,044,576 525,085 519,491
Projection 2007/08 £	2,772,161 853,944 917,967 8,060 0 60,000 273,802 273,802 1,993,206 1,993,206	8,946,158 8,038,489 113,559	83,318 75,660 0 0 47,230 337,300 8,695,556	250,602 1,295,178 250,602 1,044,576
Projection 2006/07 £	2,678,416 829,264 891,230 8,060 0 60,000 305,682 1,909,519 1,900,320 1,900,320	8,775,402 8,077,262 109,233	80,144 75,660 0 0 54,300 337,300 8,733,900	41,503 1,336,681 41,503 1,295,178
Projection 2005/06 £	2,587,842 805,303 865,272 8,060 0 60,000 335,529 1,922,486 1,807,890 0	8,629,341 8,116,035 105,072	75,660 0 0 54,445 337,300 8,765,604	1,200,418 -136,263 1,336,681
Projection 2004/05 £	2,500,330 775,440 840,070 8,060 0 60,000 364,670 1,943,880 1,746,510 1,746,510	8,517,220 8,206,711 101,070	75,660 0 0 47,680 337,300 8,842,576	-325,356 875,061 -325,356
Revised Estimate 2003/04	2,655,000 774,610 817,530 36,550 4,757,866 60,000 566,400 194,510 1,978,220 0 69,260 14,750	11,924,696 11,924,696 8,297,387 97,220	75,660 21,920 2,431,997 51,700 337,300 11,384,514	540,182 1,415,243 540,182 875,061
Code Ref Expenditure	EA9 Housing Repairs EB1 Supervision and Management EC1-5 Sheltered and Other Services ED4 Council Tax & Leasing ED6 Rent Rebates Paid ED7 Provision for Bad Debts EE1 Debt Interest EE5 Revenue Contributions to Capital EE6 Provision for Depreciation EG3 Transfer to Government Pool EQ6 Deficit From Building Maintenance EB2 Housing Options Study (Consultants) EF7 Cost of Rehafes Remaining in HRA		EQ6 Transfers from Other Funds EQ6 Transfers from Other Funds EQ4 Contributions to Housing Benefit EQ3 Housing Subsidy EQ5 Interest Received from General Fund EP3 DCC - Supporting People Grant Total Income	Projected Deficit / Surplus (-) HRA General Reserves  Balance b/f  Deficit / Surplus (-) as above

# CAPITAL SPENDING BIDS (DECEMBER 2003) - OVERALL SCORES

	Comment			Reliant on exfernal funding approval (£60k)								THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	The state of the s		Subject to external funding (£50k)	£50k per year	£10k required 04/05 - £60k not till 08/09	Possible Grant Funding		£60k per year	£9k per year	2 options costing between £165 to £300k		£200k per year		Rolling Programme				TO AND THE COLUMN AND		Is this the Council's responsibility?			£150k per year
	Total %		7.0	70	68	67	99	99	64	63	59	58	57	57	57	21	54	54	22	52	52	50	46	46	42	40	39	37	36	34	32	32	32	32	30	30
External	Finance %	6	14	10	14	13	12	9	8	15	6	9	<u> </u>	13	13	6	12	9	0	14	4	9	9	0	9	9	2	5	4	0	9	0	9	9	9	O management of the state of th
Scores	Risk %	18	8	10	10	10	10	14	10	8	10	8	8	10	10	10	8	10	10	8	14	8	16	14	10	14	14	12	œ	14	10	12	10	10	4	10
Category Scores	Priorities %	12	12	8	12	12	12	8	12	8	12	12	12	12	8	12	8	8	4	8	8	8	0	8	8	0	4	4	φ	0	4	4	4	4	4	4
Council	Priorities %	32	36	42	32	32	32	38	34	32	28	32	30	22	26	26	26	30	38	22	26	28	24	24	18	20	16	16	16	20	12	16	12	12	16	16
5 vear Estimate	(NET)	500,000	24,000	12,250	150,000	100,000	95,000	30,000	350,000	110,000	200,000	200,000	100,000	20,000	15,000	50,000	150,000	70,000	000'69	100,000	180,000	45,000	300,000	40,000	1,000,000	150,000	165,000	101,582	30,000	1,275,000	12,000	80,000	20,000	40,000	30,000	750,000
	<u>Line Bid</u> No. Ref Scheme/Proposal	1 4 Disability Access Improvements	2 21 Burglary Prevention Project		4 17 Community Partnerships Scheme	5   12   Empty Home Grants	6 13 Landlord Renovation Grants	7 22 Development of Coton Park Community Facility	8 32 Upgrade of Warden Call Equipment	9 19 Rosliston Centre Cabin Accommodation	10 9 Area Improvement Scheme	11   15   Customer First Project - Central Reception	12 8 Swadlincote Environmental Enhancements	13 16 Youth Facility Provision	14 18 Neighbourhood Community Safety Project	15 29 Footbal Pitch Improvement Works	16 7 Swadlincote HERS	17 10 House Condition & Energy Survey (Extra)	18 6 Roll out DIPS & Develop Plainting Portal	11	20 24 Modernising Council's Play Sites	21   14   Rural Parish Needs Survey	22 5 Civic Offices - Heating & Ventilation		24 33 Sheltered Housing Scheme Improvements	25 3 Internal Amendments at Civic Offices		27 1 Member Laptops and Remote Access	28 Upgrading of Eureka Park	29 3 New Build at Depot			32 36 Melbourne Leisure Centre - New Boiler	37	23 Development of Nadins, Park Ro	35   34   Communal Land, Open Spaces, Garages

# u/ks/december2003spending proposals/capitalbidscoresdec03

# CAPITAL SPENDING BIDS (DECEMBER 2003) - OVERALL SCORES

		Comment			Issue over whether this is required.		And the second s					MARION CONTRACTOR CONT	Hiring Portakabin office units
3 4	1.5	Total	%		26	24	24	24	22	20	20	12	9
	External	Finance	%		9	9	9	9	9	0	0	9	0
Scores	. <sup>1</sup> . z <u></u>	Risk	%	1.	8	9	8	0	9	4	10	- Ch	4
Category Scores	National	Priorities	%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Council	Priorities	%		12	12	10	12	10	16	10	2	2
5 year	Estimate	(NET)	ધા		40,000	000'9	50,000	10,000	25,000	20,000	50,000	24,000	85,000
		DIO 6	Ref Scheme/Proposal		38 Civic Officers - New Boiler	40 Civic Offices - Ground Floor Renewal	42 Darklands Depot - New Boilers	43 Darklands Depot - Toilet Alterations	39   Civic Offices - Renew External Cladding	25 Audit of Open Space & Recreational Facilities	27 Replacement of DSO Computer System	41 Civic Offices - Renew Defective Glazed Units	35 Uniting Housing Client & Contractor Functions
		Line	Š.		36	37	33	39	40	41	42	43	44

TOTAL BIDS SUBMITTED 6,903,832

## ANNEXE 'B'

# PROPOSALS OF THE SERVICE & FINANCIAL PLANNING WORKING GROUP

1. As stated in Paragraphs 3.20 and 3.41 of the main report, this group met on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2004, to discuss proposals for revenue service improvements and new capital investment.

# General Fund Revenue Account

- To maintain balances at the end of the 3-year forecasting period (2006/07) of £700,000 to £750,000.
- Against this, additional new spending is proposed, as follows:

	2	
Proposal	3-year Cost to	Comment
		Comment
	(£)	
Recruitment and Retention	200,000	As recommended by the
	and the state of t	Recruitment and Retention
g and a		Panel)
Increased Refuse Collection to meet	275,000	
District Growth and extending the	a maria tan	TARREST AT THE EAST
Compost Scheme		the production of the second section of the section of
General Fund effect of proposed Housing	60,000	As reported to this Committee
Services Restructure	THE WASHINGTON	on 11 <sup>th</sup> December 2003
Provision for Property Maintenance	50,000	
LSP and Preparation of Community	70,000	Total cost £180,000, but
Strategy, to include a Principal Policy	. 0,000	£110,000 partnership funding
Officer		expected
Crime and Disorder Audit & Strategy	5,000	2004/05 only
	MENUDA	
Destination Management System	12,300	
(Tourism)		
Anti Social Behavior Officer (to include a	30,000	
Neighborhood Wardens Feasibility Study	- 3,500	
in 2004/05)		
Community Drugs Officer	14,500	
· <u>-</u>	,	
Youth Engagement through Sport (to	35,000	
include SportsBreak project in 2004/05)		
National Forest Tourism Partnership	9,300	
Literature		
Liberation Day	1,000	2004/05 only
Community Partnership Officer	26,500	
•	•	
Extra Licenses for Flare System	6,600	
Total 2 year Entimeted Contin CDDC	705 000	
Total – 3-year Estimated Cost to SDDC	795,200	

## 2. Effect on General Balances

These proposals would reduce balances at 31st March 2007 to £740.550

In addition, a report elsewhere on this Agenda concerning the sale of some council property (although generating a capital receipt) would reduce Council rental income by £11,000 per year (£33,000 over the 3-year forecasting period). This would reduce the above figure to £707,550 at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2007.

This is based on a projection for Council Tax Increases of 5%

# 3. Planning Delivery Grant

It is anticipated that the Council will continue to receive this Government Grant for the next 3 years (estimated at £100,000 per year - £300,000 over the 3-year planning period). It is proposed that these resources are reinvested in developing the Planning Service and that the Environmental and Development Services Committee allocate these resources once the grant has been finalised.

It is expected that the grant will fund development proposals, in particular for additional staffing and I.T. investment.

# 4. Developments to the Licensing Service

It is proposed that additional expenditure in taking on Liquor Licensing, i.e. the Licensing Enforcement Officer (£76,500 over 3 years) and a Licensing Team Assistant (£58,400 over 3 years) be funded from fees and charges levied for granting licenses. This will need to be kept under review.

# 5. Capital Investment

It is proposed that in accordance with previous practice, that approximately £300,000 to £400,000 of new investment is released from 2004/05.

Against this, additional new spending is proposed, as follows

Proposal	Cost to SDDC (£)	Comment
Disability Access Improvements	100,000	To kick-start the programme by addressing the highest priority works.
Burglary Prevention Project	24,000	
Provision of Artificial Turf Pitch (Pingle School)	12,250	
Community Partnerships Scheme	150,000	
Development of Coton Park Community Facility	30,000	
Additional resources for Housing Condition & Energy Saving Survey	10,000	
Rosliston Bungalow – Window Replacements	9,000	Based on an updated quotation
Modernising Play Sites	60,000	To fund works at upto 2 sites
Total – Estimated Cost to SDDC	395,250	

This would leave potential resources of around £872,000 to meet future commitments and for future capital investment.

## 6. Other issues

The Working Group were keen to see that the commitment contained as an action in the Council's Corporate Plan to review existing services against the "scoring system" be undertaken during the early part of 2004/05.

The Working Group also confirmed that they wished to maintain the commutation reserve at its current level and would review any use of this reserve in accordance with the overall financial strategy of the council.

eninka va kijejo).

and the control of th

en 1904. Antonia (1915)

en de la companya de la co

and the control of th

and the second of the property of the second of the second

and the control of th

ett van de sterre de la factura de la fa La factura de la factura d

and the control of the MINE State of the state of the product of the production of the state of the control of the state o