# **Draft Scoping Document**

Name of Review/Project:  Mobile Phone Network Coverage in South Derbyshire.
Terms of reference:
Please provide details of relevant Corporate Plan Themes and Priorities:
Key Issues and Aim(s) of the Review To ascertain mobile network coverage in South Derbyshire and to identify areas of non-coverage.
Why should the review take place now?  Most people now use mobile phones, many as an alternative to landlines, however many residents cannot obtain signals.
Potential outcome/s Possible improvement of network coverage across the District for service users.
What will not be included:

Risks:
Members undertaking the Review (if a sub-group is to be used):
Possible co-entions:
Possible co-options:
Which policy committee(s) does it relate to?
Environmental and Development Services Committee and Finance and Management Committee.
Key stakeholders/ consultees:
Mobile Companies. Residents of South Derbyshire. Business Users.
Potential contributors and research required:
Planning Department.
Potential visits:
Areas receiving poor signal quality.

Annexe 'A'

Are there any Budgetary Implications?
Officer support required:
Period for Review:
Start date:
Approximate meeting dates:
Proposed completion date:
Report date(s) to committees:
Publicity (e.g. of recommendations)
Data Occasion Decreased Occasion I
Date Scoping Document Completed:

## **Prioritising Topics For Scrutiny**

#### Corporate Plan themes and priorities

The Peer Review included a recommendation to assess potential scrutiny review areas against the corporate priorities. Further information on these priorities is available in the Corporate Plan, which can be accessed via the following link:

http://harvey/corporate/organisationaldevelopment/policy/corporate\_plan/corp plan0914

The Corporate Plan themes and priorities are:

- Sustainable Growth and Opportunity Theme 1
- Safe and Secure Theme 2
- Lifestyle Choices Theme 3
- Value for Money Theme 4

#### Other issues to be considered when selecting reviews:

- 1. General questions at the outset:
- Does the review meet any of the Council's priorities?
- does this issue have a potential impact for one or more section(s) of the population?
- is the issue strategic and significant?
- is there a clear objective for scrutinising this topic?
- is there evidence to support the need for scrutiny?
- what do we hope to achieve?
- what are the likely benefits to the council and its customers?
- are you likely to achieve a desired outcome?
- what are the potential risks?
- are there adequate resources available to do the activity well?
- is the Scrutiny activity timely?

#### 2. Public interest

- issue identified by Members through surgeries and other contact with constituents
- user dissatisfaction with service (e.g. complaints)
- market surveys/citizens panels
- issue covered in local media

#### 3. Internal council priority

- · council corporate priority area
- high level of budgetary commitment to the service/policy area (as percentage of total expenditure)
- pattern of budgetary overspends
- poor performing service (evidence from performance indicators/benchmarking)

#### 4. External Factors

- · central government priority area
- new government guidance or legislation
- issues raised by External Audit Management Letters/External audit reports
- key reports or new evidence provided by external organisations on key issue

### 5. Criteria to reject items

- review area does not meet any of the Council's priorities
- being examined elsewhere e.g. by a policy committee, working group, officer group, other body
- issue dealt with less than 2 years ago
- new legislation or guidance expected within the next year
- no scope for scrutiny to add value/ make a difference, or
- the objective cannot be achieved in the specified timescale.