

Townscape Heritage Scheme Grants Panel 24th October 2016

Applications Appraisal

CONTENTS

- 1. Submitted Scheme 1
- 2. Submitted Scheme 2
- 3. Scoring Criteria
- 4. Plan of Townscape Heritage Eligible Buildings
- 5. Proposed design for 23 West Street







SUBMITTED SCHEMES

SCHEME 1	DESCRIPTION OF WORKS
23 West Street	Re-instate traditional style shop front to include
	authentic details and external works to improve front
	elevation of upper storey, including repair and re-
	paint original timber windows.

Present condition



Historic photo (1950s)



Proposed design (and attached)



Total cost of preferred quote: Approx. £53,230 (Net) £0 (VAT) £53,230 (Gross)

Priority of building and Grant Rate: Reserve Target Building @ Grant Rate of 67%

= Approx. £35,664 (Net) Grant Award

Is it in a Target Area? Yes – Target Area 1

Additional comments on key criteria:

- Cluster effect as part of existing/potential improvement works taking place on West Street
- High quality design based on authentic details rather than full re-instatement of historic shopfront

DECISION AT GRANTS PANEL MEETING				
Approved		Rejected		
Grant Award:	£	£		
Grantee Contribution:	£	£		
Total costs approved:	£	f		

SCHEME 2	DESCRIPTION OF WORKS		
8 Midland Road	Either;		
	Repair existing timber shopfront and re-paint or;		
	Replace existing timbers with new hardwood.		

Present condition



Historic photo



Total cost of preferred quote/tender: Repair: Approx. £9,825 (Net) £0 (VAT) £9,825 (Gross)

Replace: Approx. £16,175 (Net) £0 (VAT) £16,175 (Gross)

Priority of building and grant rate: Other Eligible Building @ Grant Rate of 67%

£

= Repair: Approx. £6,583 = Replace: Approx. £10,837

Total costs approved:

Is it in the target area? Yes – Target Area 2

Additional comments on key criteria:

- Maintenance / condition issues
- Repair will aim use conservation methods for maximum retention of existing timbers
- Joiner advises that 'replace' will extend life of shopfront by 50-70 years and 'repair' will give another 5-7 years

DECISION AT GRANTS PANEL MEETING Approved Grant to award: £ Grantee contribution: £ £

£



Third Party Grants Panel Scoring Criteria

Key criteria for deciding which properties to award:	Score 1	Score 2	Score 3
a. Location – within the target area or not	Yes	Conservatio n Area	No
b. Priority of building as shown on map	High priority	Medium priority	Reserve / Eligible
c. Condition of the building; those in worst state of repair to be considered a higher preference	Poor condition	Fair condition	Good condition
d. Value for money – will depend on owner's contribution and grant that can be offered	High value	Medium value	Low value
e. Impact of suggested works and the potential to be	High	Medium	Low
a catalyst for other buildings	impact	impact	impact
f. Viability; the future use and sustainability of the building work if carried out	High viability	Medium viability	Low viability
g. Owner sign up and current occupancy	High	Medium	Low
B. Other sign up and carrent occupancy	commitment	commitment	commitment
TOTAL SCORE Score 1 is highest and Score 3 is lowest			

