

<b>Participant</b>	<b>Question 1</b> Was the leaflet received with your letter informative?	<b>Question 2</b> Did you feel that your points were given better consideration because you were able to speak?	<b>Question 3</b> Was the procedure satisfactory?	<b>Question 4</b> Did you understand what was going on?	<b>Question 5</b> Any suggestions for improvement?
Supporter	Doesn't read the leaflet as she is a professional and knows what she is doing!!	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total shambles in her opinion.</li> <li>• Position of speaker totally unsuitable, was shuffled backwards &amp; forwards.</li> <li>• Couldn't hear.</li> <li>• Nowhere to put papers etc.</li> <li>• Facing away from people in the room.</li> </ul>	Yes – but only because she attends numerous committees, felt that an inexperienced person would not.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Install microphones.</li> <li>• Better positioning of speaker.</li> <li>• Suggests Committee Members actually read report before attending meeting and they would therefore be able to ask relevant questions directly to Agent/Applicant.</li> <li>• Agent should have the last say after objectors have spoken.</li> </ul>
Supporter	Doesn't read leaflet.	Yes, can be. However, sometimes it isn't. It is useful to attend, however if there is a lot of opposition they tend to have the ear of the Members more than the Developers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Previous Chairman was very helpful with regards to the procedure.</li> <li>• Position of Speaker is unsatisfactory.</li> </ul>	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggests that any applications that require a site visit are placed at the beginning of the agenda, so that there is no need for Agent etc. to stay for the whole meeting.</li> <li>• Suggests that all applications going to</li> </ul>

Participant	Question 1 Was the leaflet received with your letter informative?	Question 2 Did you feel that your points were given better consideration because you were able to speak?	Question 3 Was the procedure satisfactory?	Question 4 Did you understand what was going on?	Question 5 Any suggestions for improvement?
					<p>Committee automatically have a site visit before DC meeting (as E. Staffs procedure).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggests that only Members who have attended the site visit are allowed to comment at Committee.</li> <li>• Chairman should explain to speakers at the beginning of meeting where they are to sit.</li> </ul>
Supporter	Yes	Yes, but less so if Officers support the application.	Yes	Yes	Chairman should advise people how to exit the Chambers as he ended up going into the Members' room.
Objector	Yes	Yes	Could have been better organised. Felt as though he was shuffled about. Suggested that if a person is to speak they are placed at the front.	Yes	None – very satisfied overall.
Supporter	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Would like to have

Participant	Question 1 Was the leaflet received with your letter informative?	Question 2 Did you feel that your points were given better consideration because you were able to speak?	Question 3 Was the procedure satisfactory?	Question 4 Did you understand what was going on?	Question 5 Any suggestions for improvement?
					been able to respond to further comments after 3 minute slot.
Objector	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No major suggestions, only comment being allowed to bring handouts / photographs to support their objections.
	Yes	Yes	Chairman explained everything very well. However, felt that seating capacity was inadequate.	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review seating arrangements.</li> <li>• Suggests that where there is a speaker for an application that they are placed at the beginning of the agenda.</li> </ul>