# Áppendix A

16<sup>th</sup> December 2002

Mr F McArdle
Chief Executive
South Derbyshire District Council
Civic Offices
Civic Way
Swadlincote
Derbyshire
DE11 0AH

Dear Mr McArdle

## Follow-up Inspection of Development Control – December 2002

We have now completed our follow-up inspection of the Development Control service. This letter sets out the findings of the follow-up inspection and of your progress to date.

### **Summary of Findings**

We inspected the Development Control service in October 2001 and judged the Service to be a fair, one star service with no prospects of improvement. We made a number of recommendations which aimed to assist the Council, provide direction and address capacity issues. At the time of our inspection the Council was facing the need to make major change to deal with a financial crisis. We acknowledge that, out of necessity, there has been a focus at the heart of the organisation on securing long term stability and financial security which has meant that progress on some issues has been slow.

Our follow-up inspection has identified that you have made progress on addressing our recommendations and on your improvement plan. Work on the Local Plan Review has demonstrated team working within the Council and a strong attempt to engage the public in the process. In addition the Development Control service has been reorganised and has made progress on performance monitoring and streamlining processes.

However, there is still a lack of focus on understanding what customers want from the Development Control service. At one level this is obvious as the Council is delivering decisions to applicants, working with developers and developing the Local Plan. However, because the service is not actively consulting it's customers it is likely that opportunities for recognition of good practice and suggestions about improvements are being missed. Although local managers think they are providing everything customers need there is no evidence to prove this.

### **Progress on Recommendations**

Our follow-up inspection has shown that the Service has largely addressed the issues raised by our previous inspection. The recommendations about corporate issues are listed below with our comments on each:

Rec: Establish and implement a comprehensive performance management system by April 2001

The framework for performance management is complete including performance development reviews for individual staff but work on corporate priorities is still ongoing. Local people have had limited involvement in identifying corporate priorities but there has been some involvement of the public in ranking priorities through a questionnaire to local Citizens Panel members. The local strategic partnership and community strategy work are at early stages of development and, as yet, have not fed directly into your corporate planning processes. This has meant that the evolving performance management system has not fully identified measures for services from a customer perspective (see Appendix 2.1).

Rec: Produce a robust procurement strategy

The document entitled Procurement Strategy 2003 is a working document identifying procurement issues that the Council needs to think further about, it is not yet a robust procurement strategy. Some of these are important issues connected to your corporate aims; for example, sustainable development and linking procurement to your key aims. You have identified the need for a corporate procurement working group to develop and implement a strategy and set out a timetable for the work that this group will be doing, which runs until April 2004. A procurement strategy is an essential tool that underpins best value processes and helps councils to demonstrate that they are delivering value for money to local people. The strategy document you have developed is a useful stepping stone toward establishing the strategy but it has taken some considerable time to produce and gain committee approval and there is much detail still to work through. (See Appendix 2.2).

Rec: Ensure that the best value review programme is less fragmented and that the implications of each service review are not viewed in isolation or out of context.

Rec: Focus on outcomes of planned actions within service reviews

You have continued with your planned programme of reviews, tackling core organisational activities, such as Human Resources and financial services in year two. The timetable for this work has slipped but these reviews should be completed in March 2003. We understand that you have used your scrutiny committee and external agencies to challenge services and undertake reality checks which should mean that the review outcomes are more robust. However, we believe that there is still room for greater involvement of your policy committee members; particularly at the start of the review process to identify what the organisation wants or needs to achieve through each service review and how any improvement actions could impact on other parts of the organisation. Clarity about specific service improvements that support your corporate aims and have clear monitoring routes should ensure that actions are not taken out of context and that improvements can be clearly measured within your performance management structures (see Appendix 2.3 and 2.4).

Rec: Ensure more joined up approach to development issues across all council Services

You have reorganised your member and organisational structures to reflect the connections between key areas of work. The Environmental and Development Services Committee is now responsible for planning and planning policy, building control, economic development and much of environmental health service and the organisational structure matches the member structure.

We also note that team working is identified as a critical success factor in your corporate plan. Within development control you now adopt a development team approach for large projects. This means that the relevant internal departments are engaged in supporting and shaping new developments. For example, liaison between Leisure, Local Plans and Development Control on public open spaces to ensure that when an area is adopted as an open space the leisure department know what is required from their service. There are also good examples of work with external agencies including Highways, the Environment Agency, other councils (for cross border developments) and the Primary Care Trust (see Appendix 2.5).

Service specific recommendations were made to address service strategy, staffing, processes, outcomes and the added value of the service.

Rec: Develop a comprehensive and challenging improvement plan by the end of 2000/2001 which incorporates a vision, priorities and forward strategy for the service.

Rec: From this (service) strategy produce an annual action plan with clear specific objectives and SMART targets which are linked to the achievement of corporate priorities in a measurable way.

Following our original inspection in October 2001 a new implementation plan was developed and approved by the Councillors in July 2001 which addressed the service issues raised during the inspection. The plan identifies actions, timescales, priorities, indicators, targets and responsible officers and is monitored through the relevant scrutiny committee. Although the corporate vision and priorities were identified in this document links between them and the planned service actions were not clear. Less than half of the actions in the implementation plan are likely to have a direct impact on the public.

The Planning Service Division service plan 2002/03 covering Local Plans, Development Control and Building Control were written in November 2001. A clear purpose is given for the service and the links to corporate aims are identified. The later (draft) service plan for 2003/04 includes critical success factors, key performance indicator targets and departmental objectives. Although these documents set out the planned actions for the relevant year, identify targets to aim for and make important corporate links there is still a lack of focus on improving outcomes for people. None of the performance measures are linked to achievements for local people which makes it difficult to gauge whether the Council is delivering the services in the right way (see Appendix 2.6 and 2.7).

Rec: As part of a performance management system identify staff training needs through personal appraisals and (as planned) investigate a forward training plan and resources by June 2001

The Council has implemented Performance and Development Reviews (PDR) for all staff with this years reviews expected to be completed by Christmas 2002. Staff now have individual training plans with training needs being met through a combination of departmental and centrally organised training. There is a corporate training plan which is linked to needs identified through individual PDRs. In Development Control there is evidence of sharing training with other councils in the area and of making use of government workshops. Staff that we met reported that they had received training opportunities as a result of the PDR system and that they felt supported in their roles within the organisation. (See Appendix 2.8).

Rec: Use the new IT system within the service to improve internal management and monitoring systems, such as the performance of the service against national and local indicators, staff time against different work areas etc.

Rec: Continue with plans to produce and distribute a charter, which sets out targets for service delivery and communicates these with users of the development control service

There has been a great deal of work on the IT systems used within Development Control allowing staff to work more efficiently and information to be shared effectively. For example, all trees and tree preservation orders and listed buildings are now identified on the system. In addition procedures to produce appropriate letters and planning notices are automated once an application is logged within the GIS system. There is the recognised need for continued development of the systems in use to ensure greater sharing of information and more efficient work processes. There is evidence of monitoring using the IT systems including identifying progress on processing planning applications against targets and this information is used to manage workloads and staff performance. There is a plan to produce additional management information in the next quarter to enable reporting against targets.

There is a contrast between the clear service focus on delivering a good service to customers at a day to day level and an apparent lack of engaging with customers to collect and use feedback about the current service in order to make improvements that customers would like. The service has not surveyed customers for the BVPP satisfaction ratings nor engaged in regular and purposeful contact about service development or change.

An example of this is in the use of the Development Control Charter which was created in April 2001 and circulated to Parish Councils. The Charter is also available at the planning reception desk and has recently been put onto the Council website. There was no organised promotion of the Charter and staff were unaware of how it was promoted although some of them reported that they sent it to customers occasionally. The webbased version of the Charter is not easy to read on screen as it is simply a text copy of the original document.

The contents of the Charter could benefit from being promoted and used in more appropriate ways than as a booklet in its entirety. For example:

- using sections of the document as one page leaflets for applicants or people wishing to make an objection and giving a contact number for the relevant officers; and
- adding a contents list and hypertext links in the web version to guide people to the section they need.

There is no information about whether the Charter has had any impact on customers, for example no knowledge of whether it has had any effect on the number of calls requesting information on progress of an application or any impact on complaints. Although the Charter clearly identifies the targets for the service it is not clear where performance against these is reported or how the public can find out what the performance is. It would, for example, be easy to do this on the notice board at planning reception or on the planning section of your website (see Appendix 2.9 and 2.10).

Rec: Take a more proactive approach to the preparation and distribution of preapplication advice e.g. by publishing design briefs with the local plan review, and producing more 'user friendly' design guidelines in electronic and paper form.

Rec: As part of the Local Plan review, create a strong design vision for South Derbyshire and communicate this through positive guidelines for new Development.

Work continues on producing and updating materials for the public. Most of this has focused on improving existing Supplementary Planning Guidance, work on guidance for advertisements, the cycling strategy and walking strategy and Swadlincote Town centre has been completed. Work on a further seven documents is still to be completed with guidance on extensions, trees, public open space provision, historic South Derbyshire and section 106 agreements at the consultation phase ( with local Agents), parking standards forming part of the Local Plan review and housing layout and design shortly to be adopted. A strong design vision for the area is supported by the development of Planning Guidance and close working between the Local Plan team and other sections within the Environment and Development Services directorate. As part of ensuring the design vision works when applied the Development Control staff make team visits, with staff from outside their area, to look at the impact of planning decisions in the District (see Appendix 2.11 and 2.12).

Rec: Ensure that the Local Plan review actively addresses key corporate objectives and priorities and is responsive to the wider national agenda e.g. on issues such as sustainability, affordable housing, regeneration, designing out crime, social inclusion etc.

There has been good progress on this with evidence of debate and team working within planning and development control to identify key issues and make appropriate responses to them in the plan. Staff reported that they discuss issues such as village sustainability and open spaces and these requirements are translated into guidance or Section 106 requirements which should achieve benefits for local people in terms of infrastructure, affordable housing etc. One of the benefits of this work within the Council is that Development Control staff now feel more confident about defending the Local Plan in their planning decisions in future as they have been so closely involved in the preparatory work and in discussing issues raised from the first deposit stage. (See Appendix 2.13).

Rec: Ensure that the Local Plan review maximises, and takes account of, community and stakeholder involvement.

Rec: Ensure that consultation is used to inform future service delivery e.g. section 106 agreements, design briefs and the level of enforcement activity undertaken by the council.

Rec: Ensure that the resulting local plan policies translate into clear guidelines for

development control activity.

The Local Plan process does seem to be engaging people well. There have been a number of approaches to this including presentations to Parish Councils, community workshops and appearances on, or in, the local media. The use of the Council website has also proved helpful with over 2400 hits on the Local Plan pages in the nine weeks of the first deposit stage. The close cross departmental work on developing the Local Plan (see above) should provide a positive base form which to develop clear guidelines for development control activity at the end of the process.

Consultation with stakeholder bodies is being used to inform work on Section 106 agreements. A strategy for developer contributions is being developed in conjunction with local bodies including health, education and highways; however, consultation with developers, agents and the public to inform wider service delivery is not undertaken regularly (see Appendix 2.14, 2.15 and 2.16).

In summary, the Council has made progress on all the recommendations from the first inspection; 50 per cent have been completed and the other 50 per cent are partially completed. However, there is still important work that has not been fully implemented and the delays created by corporate issues or lack of resources have had an impact on how much has been achieved for local people. Of the eight actions completed only the three relating to the Local Plan are likely to have been noticed by the public as they have been engaged in the consultation. The recommendations that have been partially completed have more potential impact on the public but the production of more user friendly information and the creation of the Customer Charter have not been undertaken in the most inclusive and engaging way for the users of the service and the wider public.

### **Progress on Improvement Plan**

Your revised improvement plan identified 17 actions which are listed in Appendix 2, 14 of these have the potential to have an effect on local people, particularly those relating to the Local Plan review (see 2.27 and 2.28) and the provision of information for the public (see 2.23 plain English versions of SPG and the Customer Charter for comment, 2.24 reviewing documents including application forms and 2.26 developing the use of the internet). In addition actions that should improve the speed, transparency and consistency of decision making on planning applications have been completed (see 2.22 and 2.25).

However, there has been no substantive progress on allowing speaking at Development Control Committee meetings (see 2.25) and the enforcement policy is still under development (see 2.29). Both of these issues are of crucial importance for the public. The enforcement policy work should include consultation with developers as well as identifying the benefits of the policy for local people and including them in the consultation.

Although there has been progress there is still a reactive quality to many of the actions. For example, customer comments do affect the design of forms etc but these comments are not systematically canvassed and customer views are not established through specific, regular contact with users. In addition the website now contains information and forms etc for the public but this has not been actively or widely promoted; service staff simply tell people about it when an enquirer asks for a form or information.

The issue of public speaking at Development Control Committee meetings is still outstanding. Councillors that we spoke to told us that they want this to happen and a visit to another council has been undertaken to look at how they conducted public speaking. However, it appears that any decision to implement this is unlikely before a corporate

consideration of speaking at all decision making committees within the Council's work on democratic renewal. In addition there appears to be a concern that speaking at committee will be expensive in terms of resources and take considerable amounts of time, neither of which is necessarily the case in our experience. Over 75 per cent of planning authorities already extend this opportunity to local people so there are plenty of authorities at which the Council could look to identify the steps to take in making this improvement.

In summary, all but one of the 17 actions in your revised improvement plan has been partially or completely implemented with a minimal increase in resources. Of these six could have a direct effect on the public but there is no evidence of the council engaging with customers to gauge any impact on service users of these actions.

## Movement in performance indicators

As part of our follow-up inspection we carried out an assessment of your Best Value Performance Indicators relating to the Development Control Service to assess progress towards delivering services consistent with the top 25 per cent of councils.

The council has decreased the planning cost per head of population between 2000/1 (£7.69) and 2001/2 (£7.48) largely due to an increase in planning application income which is likely to place the service in the lowest cost quartile.

Performance in terms of the percentage of planning applications determined within eight weeks has fluctuated, but generally improved with 79 per cent of applications have being determined within eight weeks in the year to date (unaudited figure) compared with 78 per cent at the time of the original inspection and a government target of 80 per cent. Figures for the last reported quarter to June 2002 indicated a drop in performance on the determination of applications within eight weeks. This reflects the difficulties that the service has in sustaining performance when posts become vacant or staff are moved between roles. Although a temporary worker was brought in during this time of change there was a reduction in service capacity. Historically this service has had slim resources and the service needs to develop a failsafe solution to guarantee performance in the future.

The percentage of applications determined by officers through delegation is now at 90 per cent compared with 84 per cent at the time of our initial inspection and a national target of 90 per cent.

#### Conclusion

Our follow up inspection has shown that the Service has made progress on 14 of the 15 actions in your improvement plan and all 16 of the recommendations from our previous inspection. In particular the Council has shown good team work and public engagement with the Local Plan review and Development Control has made progress on performance monitoring and streamlining processes.

However, the progress on some key issues such as your procurement strategy has been slow. In addition the lack of focus on understanding what customers want from the Development Control service and engaging with them to identify areas of potential service improvement is of continuing concern.

#### **Further Recommendations**

We recommend that Development Control focuses service monitoring and development on customers by:

- Establishing procedures for public speaking at Development Control Committee
  meetings, drawing upon the experiences of other Councils and of customers. If
  necessary this could be a pilot for wider work on speaking at other council committees,
  by June 2003.
- Establishing ways of regularly capturing and evaluating customer comments about the service and building this into 'day to day' service delivery, by June 2003.
- Working with customers to identify potential improvements to the service and ways of implementing these.
- Making the Development Control Charter more accessible to the public through using the content in a more tailored way. Promoting the Charter and monitoring the effect that this may have on the service. Reporting performance against charter targets to the public by April 2003.

We recommend that the Council ensures that it can demonstrate the delivery of value for money to local people through the procurement strategy. Particular attention should be paid to:

- Completing the work the council is undertaking on procurement by September 2003.
- ♦ Identifying the desired outcomes for the public to be achieved through the strategy.

#### Next steps

You are not required to respond in writing to this letter. However, if there any factual inaccuracies you wish to correct the Inspection team will be happy to receive your response.

Yours sincerely

## Rachel Raymond Inspector

Mobile:

07810 181 339

E-mail:

r-raymond@audit-commission.gov.uk

Summary of Progress. South Derbyshire Development Control

	Recommendation/	Action	Summary of action taken/	Benefit to local people
ï		completed?	ed? Reason for no action	
R	Recommendations			
-	Establish and implement a comprehensive performance	Yes	Implemented March 2002. BVPP, Corporate Plan, Council services and staff service plans and Employee Performance and Should all now be working in Development Review scheme all in place and linked clear support of the Councils	Council services and staff should all now be working in clear support of the Councils
			together. Guidance notes produced for Employee Performance and Development Review scheme.	objectives etc. Public accountability through
			Public consultation on corporate priorities limited and work with the Local Strategic Partnership is at	вурр,
			an early stage.	
2	Produce a robust procurement strategy	Partiall	2003 Procurement Strategy produced in June 2001	None at present
		>	and agreed by Finance and Management Committee in October 2001. Identifies key issues	
			about procurement and includes objectives for	
			procurement in support of Council aims. However,	
		-	corporate procurement working group still to be	
			established (Jan '03) to develop and implement the	
			strategy. Training planned for staff and Councillors	-
			Jan 2003 onward.	
			Intending to undertake a Best Value Review of	
			procurement structures and processes Dec 2002 to Dec 2003.	-
~	Fusure that the best value review	Partiall	BVR programme revised.	Unknown, but the broader
)	programme is less fragmented and that	λ	Environmental Planning and Control BVR planned	review focus gives the
	the implications of each service review	_	to start in January 2003	opporturity for more amoreda
	are not viewed in isolation or out of			
4	on outcomes of planned actions	Partially		Unknown.
	within service reviews		teams to focus on outcomes. I raining given to stain.	
			Y	

	Recommendation/ Improvement	Action completed	Summary of action taken/ ted? Reason for no action	Benefit to local people
ن ن	ed up approach to	Yes	Structures in place to ensure this happens. Environmental and Development Services Committee now responsible for planning and planning policy, building control, economic development and much of environmental health service. Organisational structure matches the member structure.  Team working identified as a critical success factor in Corporate plan. Work across internal departments includes collaboration with Leisure, Local plans and development Control on open spaces in the District. Development team approach now applied for projects.	More coherent approach to individual developments through development teams working across Council departments and with external agencies.
9	Develop a comprehensive and challenging improvement plan by the end of 2000/2001 which incorporates a vision, priorities and forward strategy for the service	Xes	Developed implementation plan – July 2001 which addressed the service issues raised at the time of the first inspection. Corporate vision and priorities identified but not clear how the planned actions relate to them.	Some actions in the Improvement plan will have a direct impact on the public; allowing greater access to information and clarity about service standards
	From this (service) strategy produce an annual action plan with clear specific objectives and SMART targets which are linked to the achievement of corporate priorities in a measurable way	Yes	Planning service division service plan 2002/03 covering Local Plans, Development Control and Building Control written November 2001. Clear purpose stated for the division. Links to corporate aims and service related opportunities/challenges. Draft plan for 2003/04 includes critical success factors, key performance indicator targets and departmental objectives.  No performance measures linked to achievements for local people. Some performance measures not yet monitored.	Services that support the Council in meeting local people's needs and priorities for the area.

	Recommendation/	Action	Summary of action taken/	Benefit to local people
		comple	completed? Reason for no action	
•		Partial	Partial   Employee review development scheme now in	Staff should understand the
<b>\(\omega</b>	As part of a performance management	>  -  -	place. Some reviews to be completed with staff in	contribution they should make
•	System identify stall training freeds	·	January 2003. No corporate forward training plan	to delivering high quality
	nlanned) investigate a forward training		linked to resources, however, no apparent problem	services.
	plan and resources by June 2001		in providing appropriate training for people.	
0	Use the new IT system within the		Partiall System developing to meet requirements for	Information shared through systems makes dealing with
	service to improve internal management	>	performance mountings, randing for talking development	applications more streamlined
	and monitoring systems, such as the		ondoing.	and informs reception staff and
	performance of the service against		Customer Charter performance targets will be	planning officers about
	against different work areas etc.		monitored from January 2003	progress.
1	bae on board of and a still and the party of	Partial	Partial Development Control Charter produced in April	Clearer idea of what to expect,
Ξ_	TO Continue with plans to produce and	>	2001 to explain standards of performance and	what the processes are and
	distribute a charter, which sets out	<b>~</b>	services the public can expect. Clear explanations	what to do if there are
	targets for service delivery and		of processes and contact details given for	problems that lead to
	communicates these With users of the		complaints Distributed to Parish Council s and	customers needing to make a
<del></del> -	development control service		available for the public at Planning department and	complaint. However, no
		·	a text conv of the Charter is on the Council web site, contact details for the	contact details for the
		-	מ נפער מכולא מו מוס פוומיונים ומי מיים יום מיים יום מיים יום מיים יום מיים יום יום יום יום יום יום יום יום יום	Development Control
				department in the document.
				and distribution of the
		·		document has not been
				targeted or monitored.

		Action	Summary of action taken/	Benefit to local people
		completed	25	
11	Take a more proactive approach to the preparation and distribution of preapplication advice e.g. by publishing design briefs with the local plan review, and producing more 'user friendly' design guidelines in electronic and paper form	Partiall y	ocal Plan review well advanced 2 <sup>nd</sup> deposit out ec 2002. Supplementary Planning Guidance oduced (since inspection) for three areas of work. evisions of a further five documents are in ogress to update them and make them more user endly. Range or written advice not based on esearch into customer needs. There is evidence of sponding to customer comments about specific ocuments such as the householder planning opplication form.	Clearer information and advice available on subjects identified by the Council.
12	As part of the Local Plan review, create a strong design vision for South Derbyshire and communicate this through positive guidelines for new development	\ \	Planning and development control flave worked together to create the design vision on key issues such as sustainable villages, housing and open spaces.	
65		\ \	See 12 and 14	See above
4	T4 Ensure that the Local Plan review maximises, and takes account of, community and stakeholder involvement	Yes	Comprehensive programme undertaken, exceeding statutory requirements, will continue through 2 <sup>nd</sup> deposit stage. Undertaken community workshops, meetings with Parish Councils, used the media, put Local Plan draft on the website, seminars for members.	Opportunity to influence the Local Plan.

				Panagit to lacal panagi
	Recommendation/	Action	Summary of action taken/	Delleill to local people
	Improvement	comple		
15	П	Yes	on	Some opportunities to
	inform future service delivery 6.0.		Local Plan. Developing a strategy for developer	Influence the design brief.
	section 106 agreements, design briefs		contributions, in conjunction with key stakeholders	Ultimately the strategy for
	and the level of enforcement activity		such as NHS, education, highways and the National	developer contributions should
			Forest. Not clear how local communities have been	
	underlaken by the council		involved in this.	infrastructure is improved to
			Design brief produced following consultation.	meet the needs of local
		<del></del>	ation in	people.
			2003.	Density Control octivity
16	Engine that the resulting local plan	Partiall	Noted. These considerations are being reflected in	
<u>.                                    </u>	noticies translate into clear guidelines	>	Local Plan policies and Supplementary Planning	should be consistent.
	for development control activity		Guidance. Development Control staff involved	
	וסו מפעפוס לווויפיווי כסווויס מפיינים		closely in Local Plan development, more likely to be	
			confident in interpreting the Local Plan in future.	
2	Parament/Action Plan Actions (taken	from re	Improvement/Action Plan Actions (taken from revised Implementation Plan produced July 2001)	
=   5		Dartial	Reshared Implementation Plan is now part of	None at present
-	Integrate service delivery will corporate	N	annual Service Plan for development control.	
	and Community Plans	<b>&gt;</b>	Community Strategy being formulated therefore no	
			progress with integration. Local Strategic	
			Should should	
			contribute to Corporate Plans in future.	
`		Dartial		Service managers more aware
_	וומלטווווו ומ	ל מו יונטוו	Performance Plan. All Government PI's reported as	of how the service is delivering
	resources and outputs	>	required	current levels of performance
			l ocal PI's roll out in progress – 50% complete. IT	and focussed on generating
			evetems in development control still being	improvements that will
			developed: at present key PIs being monitored	positively affect the service to
			include turnaround on planning applications and	local people.
			monitoring this in relation to team member's	
			performance.	

	Decemberdation/	Action	Summary of action taken/	Benefit to local people
		completed	2	
5	-	Yes	Resources devoted to Development Control	Service resources targeted to
2	Prioritise stall deployment in core		maintained at present level with agreement for one	make the service as
	delivery areas		additional support post.	responsive as possible.
			½ time Enforcement Officer recruited	
	-		½ time Support worker recruited till 31/03/03	
			Complete but ongoing	
20	20 Employ additional BCO to improve	9 N	Not achieved.	None
	access, security, energy consumption		Service Development not agreed	
	and waste recycling in buildings			State envire aims
2	21 Complete and maintain staff reviews	Yes	Performance and development review structures in	Stall locussed on service annot and able to deliver good
	and implement training		place. Most members of stair in accordance.	services to the public.
			COUNTY Have mad one to one moderness with a control money of the property of the control made of the control of	
<del></del> :		-	including shared courses with neighbouring	
			authorities.	
2,	22Review Delegation Agreement	Yes	Achieved April 2002.	Delegation and community protection in better balance
			Should facilitate Government target of 30 %	
			delegated decisions	O and organization of a
7	23 Disseminate Customer Charter and	Partial	Partiall   Charter disseminated to Parish Council s and   Some Suscenting have a	clearer idea of what to expect
	plain English versions of all	>	available on the counter at piarinning reception. The principle of what	from development control and
	Supplementary Planning Guidance for		distribution for planned; the morning of the second of the charter has had. Recently put a text	how to complain if they don't
	comment		Version of the charter on the Council web site.	get a good service.
			Revision of Supplementary Planning Guidance in	
			progress	
			50% complete	

		Action	Summary of action taken/	Benefit to local people
		comple	completed? Reason for no action	. All
<b>24</b> Re fee	24 Review all documents in the light of feedback form stakeholders	Partiall y	Development Control documentation reviewed including application forms. Staff respond to	Some paperwork more user friendly and written in clearer language.
			departmental level, making revisions and	
			amendments where necessary. Documents not	
<del></del>			systematically reviewed, no over thocess for recording and monitoring customer feedback about	
			documents.	C. C
25 Pr	25 Produce policy for public to address Committee site visits	Yes	Site visit policy and protocol revised to ensure that Councillors act appropriately and applicants or objectors understand the status of the site visit in	Applicants and objectors have written information about what to expect from a site visit
			the application process.	on the facts of the application,
			development Control Committee. Some visits to	relevant Planning Guidance, Local Plan issues etc. during
			councils that allow public speaking trave peer undertaken but the Council believes that this will	site visits.
			require additional resources and very careful	
			IIII) HEILIGING II.	Development control
26D¢	26 Develop Council's web page/internet	Partiall V	Local Plan, application forms, illiorination and current applications/decisions on Web page. Web	information available on the
<u>~</u>	access and advertise it	`	site promoted generally to the public through a	web site although many of the
			leaflet in 2001. Web site not actively promoted by	documents are unless text
			development control beyond telling	can be difficult to find key
			Recently placed web address at the bottom of	information within such long
<u> </u>			standard planning letters and added the customer	text documents.
		-	charter to the web site.	Staff all have personal e-mail
				addresses which is valued by
				Clarify about stades of Local
27P	27 Prepare and implement a project plan	Yes	Timetable published and being largely adnered to. Second draft deposit slightly delayed.	Plan review.
ر	חווס בסמון ימון			

Recommendation/	Action	Summary of action taken/	Benefit to local people
Improvement	completed?	d? Reason for no action	
28 Implement consultation on Local Plan	Partiall Unde y appre collat	Partiall Underway. See 14 above. First draft generated y approx 1500 representations which have been collated in a database to aid analysis. Second draft	Opportunity to influence the Local Plan.
29 Prepare an Enforcement priority policy	Partiall In co y ident go to Cour	going on deposit shoury. In course of preparation. Draft document exists identifying priorities for enforcement work which will go to Committee in December for approval. Parish Councils will then be consulted.	None at present.
30 Produce and negotiate policy with Parish Councils for them to monitor breeches of Planning Control	Partiall in co y Invite Cour	In course of preparation, see above. Invitation to undertake monitoring on behalf of the Council will be circulated with the draft document once agreed by Committee.	None at present.
31 Active involvement in review of financial and accountancy services	Partiall y	New FIS being procured with better accessibility by None managers Managers on working parties for reviews allowing some learning/cross fertilisation from other parts of the Council.	None