



**South
Derbyshire
District Council**

Treasury Management Report Q2 2021/22

Introduction

The Authority has adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice* (the CIPFA Code) which requires the Authority to approve treasury management semi-annual and annual reports.

The Authority's treasury management strategy for 2021/22 was approved at a meeting of the Authority on 24th February 2021. The Authority has borrowed and invested substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. The successful identification, monitoring and control of risk are therefore central to the Authority's treasury management strategy.

The 2017 Prudential Code includes a requirement for local authorities to provide a Capital Strategy, a summary document approved by full Council covering capital expenditure and financing, treasury management and non-treasury investments. The Authority's Capital Strategy, complying with CIPFA's requirement, was approved by full Council on 18th March 2021.

The detail that follows is in accordance with the CIPFA Code and is written with support from the Council's Treasury Advisor, Arlingclose.

External Context

Economic background: The economic recovery from coronavirus pandemic continued to dominate the first half of the financial year. By the end of the period over 48 million people in the UK had received their first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine and almost 45 million their second dose.

The Bank of England (BoE) held Bank Rate at 0.1% throughout the period and maintained its Quantitative Easing programme at £895 billion, unchanged since the November 2020 meeting. In its September 2021 policy announcement, the BoE noted it now expected the UK economy to grow at a slower pace than was predicted in August, as the pace of the global recovery had shown signs of slowing and there were concerns inflationary pressures may be more persistent. Within the announcement, Bank expectations for GDP growth for the third (calendar) quarter were revised down to 2.1% (from 2.9%), in part reflecting tighter supply conditions. The path of CPI inflation is now expected to rise slightly above 4% in the last three months of 2021, due to higher energy prices and core goods inflation. While the Monetary Policy Committee meeting ended with policy rates unchanged, the tone was more hawkish.

Government initiatives continued to support the economy over the quarter but came to an end on 30th September 2021, with businesses required to either take back the 1.6 million workers on the furlough scheme or make them redundant.

The latest labour market data showed that in the three months to July 2021 the unemployment rate fell to 4.6%. The employment rate increased, and economic activity rates decreased, suggesting an improving labour market picture. Latest data showed growth in average total pay (including bonuses) and regular pay (excluding bonuses) among employees was 8.3%

and 6.3% respectively over the period. However, part of the robust growth figures is due to a base effect from a decline in average pay in the spring of last year associated with the furlough scheme.

Annual CPI inflation rose to 3.2% in August, exceeding expectations for 2.9%, with the largest upward contribution coming from restaurants and hotels. The Bank of England now expects inflation to exceed 4% by the end of the calendar year owing largely to developments in energy and goods prices. The Office of National Statistics' (ONS') preferred measure of CPIH which includes owner-occupied housing was 3.0% year/year, marginally higher than expectations for 2.7%.

The easing of restrictions boosted activity in the second quarter of calendar year, helping push GDP up by 5.5% q/q (final estimate vs 4.8% q/q initial estimate). Household consumption was the largest contributor. Within the sector breakdown production contributed 1.0% q/q, construction 3.8% q/q and services 6.5% q/q, taking all of these close to their pre-pandemic levels.

The US economy grew by 6.3% in Q1 2021 (Jan-Mar) and then by an even stronger 6.6% in Q2 as the recovery continued. The Federal Reserve maintained its main interest rate at between 0% and 0.25% over the period but in its most recent meeting made suggestion that monetary policy may start to be tightened soon.

The European Central Bank maintained its base rate at 0%, deposit rate at -0.5%, and asset purchase scheme at €1.85 trillion.

Financial markets: Monetary and fiscal stimulus together with rising economic growth and the ongoing vaccine rollout programmes continued to support equity markets over most of the period, albeit with a bumpy ride towards the end. The Dow Jones hit another record high while the UK-focused FTSE 250 index continued making gains over pre-pandemic levels. The more internationally focused FTSE 100 saw more modest gains over the period and remains below its pre-crisis peak.

Inflation worries continued during the period. Declines in bond yields in the first quarter of the financial year suggested bond markets were expecting any general price increases to be less severe, or more transitory, that was previously thought. However, an increase in gas prices in the UK and EU, supply shortages and a dearth of HGV and lorry drivers with companies willing to pay more to secure their services, has caused problems for a range of industries and, in some instance, lead to higher prices.

The 5-year UK benchmark gilt yield began the financial year at 0.36% before declining to 0.33% by the end of June 2021 and then climbing to 0.64% on 30th September. Over the same period the 10-year gilt yield fell from 0.80% to 0.71% before rising to 1.03% and the 20-year yield declined from 1.31% to 1.21% and then increased to 1.37%.

The Sterling Overnight Rate (SONIA) averaged 0.05% over the quarter.

Credit review: Credit default swap spreads were flat over most of period and are broadly in line with their pre-pandemic levels. In late September spreads rose by a few basis points due to concerns around Chinese property developer Evergrande defaulting but are now falling back. The gap in spreads between UK ringfenced and non-ringfenced entities continued to

narrow, but Santander UK remained an outlier compared to the other ringfenced/retail banks. At the end of the period Santander UK was trading the highest at 53bps and Lloyds Banks Plc the lowest at 32bps. The other ringfenced banks were trading between 37-39bps and Nationwide Building Society was 39bps.

Over the period Fitch and Moody's upwardly revised to stable the outlook on a number of UK banks and building societies on our counterparty list, recognising their improved capital positions compared to last year and better economic growth prospects in the UK.

Fitch also revised the outlooks for Nordea, Svenska Handelsbanken and Handelsbanken plc to stable from negative. The rating agency considered the improved economic prospects in the Nordic region to have reduced the baseline downside risks it previously assigned to the lenders.

The successful vaccine rollout programme is credit positive for the financial services sector in general and the improved economic outlook has meant some institutions have been able to reduce provisions for bad loans. While there is still uncertainty around the full extent of the losses banks and building societies will suffer due to the pandemic-related economic slowdown, the sector is in a generally better position now compared to earlier this year and 2020.

At the end of the period Arlingclose had completed its full review of its credit advice on unsecured deposits. The outcome of this review included the addition of NatWest Markets plc to the counterparty list together with the removal of the suspension of Handelsbanken plc. In addition, the maximum duration for all recommended counterparties was extended to 100 days.

As ever, the institutions and durations on the Authority's counterparty list recommended by treasury management advisors Arlingclose remain under constant review.

Local Context

On 31st March 2021, the Authority had net borrowing of (£3.032m) arising from its revenue and capital income and expenditure. This fell to (£12.606M) by the end of the quarter. The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), while usable reserves and working capital are the underlying resources available for investment. These factors are summarised in below.

Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

	31.03.21 Actual £,000	30.09.21 Actual £'000
<u>Housing Revenue Account</u>		
Debt Outstanding	57,423	57,423
Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	61,584	61,584
Statutory Debt Cap	66,853	66,853
Borrowing Capacity (Cap less Debt Outstanding)	9,430	9,430
<u>General Fund</u>		
Debt Outstanding	0	0
Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	4,667	4,667
Borrowing Capacity (Cap less Debt Outstanding)	4,667	4,667
Total Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	66,251	66,251

The Authority's current strategy is to maintain borrowing and investments below their underlying levels, sometimes known as internal borrowing, in order to reduce risk and keep interest costs low.

The overall treasury management position as at 30th September 2021 and the change during the quarter is shown below.

Treasury Management Summary

	30.06.21 Balance £m	Movement £m	30.09.21 Balance £m	Average Rate %
Long-term borrowing:				
Fixed	47,423	0	47,423	3.19%
Variable	10,000	0	10,000	0.17%
Short-term borrowing	89	0	89	0.00%
Total borrowing	57,512	0	57,512	
Long-term investments	4,000	0	4,000	
Short-term investments	53,500	9,500	63,000	0.10%
Cash and cash equivalents	3,213	-95	3,118	0.00%
Total investments	60,544	9405	70,118	
Net borrowing	-3,032	9405	-12,606	

Borrowing update

Local authorities can borrow from the PWLB provided they can confirm they are not planning to purchase 'investment assets primarily for yield' in the current or next two financial years, with confirmation of the purpose of capital expenditure from the Section 151 Officer.

Authorities that are purchasing or intending to purchase investment assets primarily for yield will not be able to access the PWLB except to refinance existing loans or externalise internal borrowing.

Acceptable use of PWLB borrowing includes service delivery, housing, regeneration, preventative action, refinancing and treasury management.

Competitive market alternatives may be available for authorities with or without access to the PWLB. However, the financial strength of the individual authority and borrowing purpose will be scrutinised by commercial lenders.

The Authority is not planning to purchase any investment assets primarily for yield within the next three years and so is able fully access the PWLB.

Revised PWLB Guidance

HM Treasury published further guidance on PWLB borrowing in August 2021 providing additional detail and clarifications predominantly around the definition of an 'investment asset primarily for yield'. The principal aspects of the new guidance are:

- Capital expenditure incurred or committed to before 26th November 2020 is allowable even for an 'investment asset primarily for yield'.
- Capital plans should be submitted by local authorities via a DELTA return. These open for the new financial year on 1st March and remain open all year. Returns must be updated if there is a change of more than 10%.
- An asset held primarily to generate yield that serves no direct policy purpose should not be categorised as service delivery.
- Further detail on how local authorities purchasing investment assets primarily for yield can access the PWLB for the purposes of refinancing existing loans or externalising internal borrowing.
- Additional detail on the sanctions which can be imposed for inappropriate use of the PWLB loan. These can include a request to cancel projects, restrictions to accessing the PLWB and requests for information on further plans.

Changes to PWLB Terms and Conditions from 8th September 2021

- The settlement time for a PWLB loan has been extended from two working days (T+2) to five working days (T+5). In a move to protect the PWLB against negative interest rates, the minimum interest rate for PWLB loans has also been set at 0.01% and the interest charged on late repayments will be the higher of Bank of England Base Rate or 0.1%.

Municipal Bonds Agency (MBA): The MBA is working to deliver a new short-term loan solution, available in the first instance to principal local authorities in England, allowing them access to short-dated, low rate, flexible debt. The minimum loan size is expected to be £25 million. Importantly, local authorities will borrow in their own name and will not cross guarantee any other authorities.

If the Authority intends future borrowing through the MBA, it will first ensure that it has thoroughly scrutinised the legal terms and conditions of the arrangement and is satisfied with them.

UK Infrastructure Bank: £4bn has been earmarked for of lending to local authorities by the UK Infrastructure Bank which is wholly owned and backed by HM Treasury. The availability of this lending to local authorities, for which there will be a bidding process, is yet to commence. Loans will be available for qualifying projects at gilt yields plus 0.6%, which is 0.2% lower than the PWLB certainty rate.

Borrowing Activity

At 30th September 2021 the Authority held £57.4m of loans. These loans were taken out by the Authority in 2011/12 for the purpose of HRA self-financing. The principal element of these loans is repayable in full on maturity, with interest being paid each March and September.

The short-term borrowing of £89k relates to deposits received from two Parish Councils within the District. These loans can be recalled on immediate notice. Interest is calculated at the Bank of England Base Rate, less 1%. No interest is currently being paid due to the Base Rate being less than 1%.

The following table shows the maturity dates of the loans and rate of interest payable.

Borrowing Position

Loan Profile	Type	Value £'000	Rate %	Maturity
Public Works Loan Board	Variable	10,000	0.23	2021/22
Public Works Loan Board	Fixed	10,000	2.70	2023/24
Public Works Loan Board	Fixed	10,000	3.01	2026/27
Public Works Loan Board	Fixed	10,000	3.30	2031/32
Public Works Loan Board	Fixed	10,000	3.44	2036/37
Public Works Loan Board	Fixed	7,423	3.50	2041/42
Total Long-term borrowing		57,423		
Short-term Parish Council Loans		89	0.00	
Total borrowing		57,512		

The Authority's chief objective when borrowing has been to strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest costs and achieving cost certainty over the period for which funds are required, with flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Authority's long-term plans change being a secondary objective.

In keeping with these objectives, no new borrowing was undertaken while £10m of existing loans will be allowed to mature without replacement. This strategy enabled the Authority to reduce net borrowing costs (despite foregone investment income) and reduce overall treasury risk.

Treasury Investment Activity

During the last quarter the Authority has received £1.6m central government funding to offer out grant schemes to support small and medium businesses, and members of the public in isolation during the coronavirus pandemic. The additional funds received have been temporarily invested in short-dated, liquid instruments such as call accounts and Money Market Funds. The Authority has distributed £0.5m of these funds by the end of September.

The Authority holds significant invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. During the quarter, the Authority's investment balance ranged between £60m and £70m, due to timing differences between income and expenditure. The investment position during the quarter is shown in the table below.

Treasury Investment Position

	31.03.21 Balance £'000	Q2 2021 Movement £'000	30.09.21 Balance £'000	30.09.21 Rate of Return %
Banks (unsecured)	-956	2,162	3,118	0.00%
Local Authorities	44,000	5,000	49,000	0.15%
Money Market Funds	13,500	500	14,000	0.00%
CCLA Property Fund	4,000	0	4,000	3.48%
Total investments	60,544	7,662	70,118	

Both the CIPFA Code and government guidance require the Authority to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its treasury investments before seeking the optimum rate of return, or yield. The Authority's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk of receiving unsuitably low investment income.

Ultra-low short-dated cash rates which have been a feature since March 2020 when Bank Rate was cut to 0.1% have resulted in the return on sterling low volatility net asset value money market funds (LVNAV MMFs) being close to zero even after some managers have temporarily waived or lowered their fees. At this stage net negative returns are not the central case of most MMF managers over the short-term, and fee waivers should maintain positive net yields, but the possibility cannot be ruled out.

Deposit rates with the Debt Management Account Deposit Facility (DMADF) are also largely around zero.

The progression of risk and return metrics are shown in the extracts from Arlingclose's quarterly investment benchmarking below.

Investment Benchmarking – Treasury investments managed in-house (excludes CCLA)

Security benchmark


	Credit Score	Credit Rating	Bail-in Exposure	Weighted Average Maturity (days)	Rate of Return %
30.09.2021	4.46	AA-	26%	78	0.36%
Similar LAs	4.66	A+	69%	55	1.20%
All LAs	4.69	A+	69%	44	0.78%

Credit Score: This is a value weighted average score calculated by weighting the credit score of each investment by its value. A higher number indicates a higher risk.

Credit Rating: This is based on the long term rating assigned to each institution in the portfolio, by ratings agencies Fitch, Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s. Ratings rang from AAA to D, and can be modified by +/-

Bail in Exposure: The adoption of a bail in regime for failed banks results in a potential increased risk of loss of funds for local authority should this need to be implemented. Therefore a lower exposure to bail in investments reduces this risk.

Weighted Average Maturity: This is an indicator of the average duration of the internally managed investments. Similar authorities have a similar profile to South Derbyshire; other larger authorities tend to hold a greater proportion of fund in money markets than fixed term deposits with other LAs, due to their cash flow requirements.



This chart illustrates the type of investment funds held by the Council in comparison to other similar Local Authorities, this shows in greater detail, the comparisons in the bail in exposure and rate of return, on the above security benchmark table. The unsecured funds held by other Local Authorities is a much higher percentage of their investment portfolio, which will offer them a higher rate of return (1.20%), however the bail in exposure risk to funds is 69% of their total portfolio. The Council have invested their funds in much safer secured investments (Government) which may produce a smaller yield (0.36%) but the risk to Council funds is low at 26%.

Externally Managed Pooled Funds

£4m of the Authority's investments are invested in externally managed strategic pooled property funds where short-term security and liquidity are lesser considerations, and the objectives instead are regular revenue income and long-term price stability. These funds are expected to generate an average return of £30k - £40k per quarter, its estimated £120k - £160k income return will be achieved this year, which is used to support services in year.

Because the Authority's externally managed funds have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal after a notice period, their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Authority's investment objectives are regularly reviewed. Strategic fund investments are made in the knowledge that capital values will move both up and down on months, quarters and even years; but with the confidence that over a three- to five-year period total returns will exceed cash interest rates.

CCLA Property Fund Performance

		2021/22	2021/22
		Q1	Q 21
Dividend Received	£	35,660	33,500
Annual Equivalent Interest Rate	%	3.83%	3.48%
Bid (Selling) Price	pence/unit	298.98	309.24

The mid-market value of the fund as at the 30th September is £3,909,350 and the bid market value is £3,848,739. The quarters market and bid values have increased from June 21 by 3.43%. This reinforces the notion that the Fund should only be considered for long-term investments.

The authority's investment in the CCLA fund will remain stable throughout 21/22 with performance continuing to yield positive dividends.

Performance

Average 7 day Interest Rate

The main indicator the Council uses to measure its return on short-term investments to average over the year, is the Average 7-Day Money Market Rate. This is a standard measure of performance. Performance for the second quarter is shown below.

	As at 30.06.21	As at 30.09.21
Average 7-Day Money Market Rate (Target)	0.10%	0.09%
Average Interest Rate Achieved on Short Term Deposits	0.10%	0.09%

Cost of Debt

This indicator shows how much the costs of borrowing impact upon each household (at Band D Council Tax rate) in the District. The impact on Council Tax is positive as the General Fund has no actual debt. The performance for the first quarter is shown below using the current interest received and the estimated annual interest based on current returns. This is compared to the actual annual interest received last year.

General Fund Impact per Council Tax Payer	Actual 31.03.2021	Actual 30.09.21	Estimated 31.03.2022
	£'000	£'000	£'000
Net Interest Received - General Fund	-£247,667	-£75,026	-£150,052
Band D Properties	34,474	35,218	35,218
Cost per Band D Property	-£7.18	-£2.13	-£4.26

The cost of debt on each council tenant (HRA) is shown below. The performance for the first quarter is the actual costs compared to the estimated costs for the year. The fluctuation in interest paid is the decrease in interest rate of the £10m variable loan and the acquisition of new council houses.

HRA Debt Interest per Dwelling	31.03.21 Actual	30.09.2021 Estimated
HRA Interest Payable	1,540,408	1,521,944
Dwellings	2,974	2,974
Annual Cost per Dwelling	£517.96	£511.75

Compliance

The Chief Finance Officer reports that during the second quarter treasury management activities have fully complied with the CIPFA Code of Practice and the Authority's approved Treasury Management Strategy.

Compliance with specific investment limits is demonstrated in the table below:

Investment limits

Sector	Maximum Investment Q2 2021	Counterparty Limit	Time Limit	Sector Limit	Complied
The UK Government	£13.5m	£20m	364 days	n/a	✓
Local authorities & other government entities	£38m	£5m	364 days	Unlimited	✓
Banks (unsecured)*	£2.7m	£3m	35 days	Unlimited	✓
Building societies (unsecured)*	£4m	£2m	35 days	£5m	✓
Money Market Funds*	£14m	£2m	60 days	£14m	✓
Strategic Pooled Funds	£4m	£4m	n/a	£4m	✓
Other Investments*	0	£1m	35 days	Unlimited	✓

Revisions to CIPFA Codes

In February 2021 CIPFA launched two consultations on changes to its Prudential Code and Treasury Management Code of Practice. These followed the Public Accounts Committee's recommendation that the prudential framework should be further tightened following continued borrowing by some authorities for investment purposes. In June, CIPFA provided feedback from this consultation.

In September CIPFA issued the revised Codes and Guidance Notes in draft form and opened the latest consultation process on their proposed changes. The changes include:

- Clarification that (a) local authorities must not borrow to invest primarily for financial return (b) it is not prudent for authorities to make any investment or spending decision that will increase the Capital Financing Requirement, and so may lead to new borrowing, unless directly and primarily related to the functions of the authority.
- Categorising investments as those (a) for treasury management purposes, (b) for service purposes and (c) for commercial purposes.
- Defining acceptable reasons to borrow money: (i) financing capital expenditure primarily related to delivering a local authority's functions, (ii) temporary management of cash flow within the context of a balanced budget, (iii) securing affordability by removing exposure to future interest rate rises and (iv) refinancing current borrowing, including replacing

internal borrowing.

- For service and commercial investments, in addition to assessments of affordability and prudence, an assessment of proportionality in respect of the authority’s overall financial capacity (i.e. whether plausible losses could be absorbed in budgets or reserves without unmanageable detriment to local services).
- Prudential Indicators
 - New indicator for net income from commercial and service investments to the budgeted net revenue stream.
 - Inclusion of the liability benchmark as a mandatory treasury management prudential indicator. CIPFA recommends this is presented as a chart of four balances – existing loan debt outstanding; loans CFR, net loans requirement, liability benchmark – over at least 10 years and ideally cover the authority’s full debt maturity profile.
 - Excluding investment income from the definition of financing costs.
- Incorporating ESG issues as a consideration within TMP 1 Risk Management.
- Additional focus on the knowledge and skills of officers and elected members involved in decision making

MHCLG Improvements to the Capital Finance Framework: MHCLG published a brief policy paper in July outlining the ways it feels that the current framework is failing and potential changes that could be made. The paper found that “while many authorities are compliant with the framework, there remain some authorities that continue to engage in practices that push the bounds of compliance and expose themselves to excessive risk”.

The actions announced include greater scrutiny of local authorities and particularly those engaged in commercial practices; an assessment of governance and training; a consideration of statutory caps on borrowing; further regulations around Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) and ensuring that MHCLG regulations enforce guidance from CIPFA and the new PWLB lending arrangements.

A further consultation on these matters is expected soon.

Arlingclose’s Economic Outlook for the remainder of 2021/22 (based on the October 2021 interest rate forecast)

	Dec-21	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Mar-23	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24	Jun-24	Sep-24	Dec-24
Official Bank Rate													
Upside risk	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Arlingclose Central Case	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Downside risk	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40

Arlingclose expects Bank Rate to rise in Q2 2022. We believe this is driven as much by the Bank of England’s desire to move from emergency levels as by fears of inflationary pressure.

Investors have priced in multiple rises in Bank Rate to 1% by 2024. While Arlingclose believes Bank Rate will rise, it is by a lesser extent than expected by markets.

The global economy continues to recover from the pandemic but has entered a more challenging phase. The resurgence of demand has led to the expected rise in inflationary pressure, but disrupted factors of supply are amplifying the effects, increasing the likelihood of lower growth rates ahead. This is particularly apparent in the UK due to the impact of Brexit.

While Q2 UK GDP expanded more quickly than initially thought, the pandemic and more latterly supply disruption will leave Q3 GDP broadly stagnant. The outlook also appears weaker. Household spending, the driver of the recovery to date, is under pressure from a combination of retail energy price rises, the end of government support programmes and soon, tax rises. Government spending, the other driver of recovery, will slow considerably as the economy is taken off life support.

Inflation rose to 3.2% in August. A combination of factors will drive this to over 4% in the near term. While the transitory factors affecting inflation, including the low base effect of 2020, are expected to unwind over time, the MPC has recently communicated fears that these transitory factors will feed longer-term inflation expectations that require tighter monetary policy to control. This has driven interest rate expectations substantially higher.

The supply imbalances are apparent in the labour market. While wage growth is currently elevated due to compositional and base factors, stories abound of higher wages for certain sectors, driving inflation expectations. It is uncertain whether a broad-based increased in wages is possible given the pressures on businesses.

Government bond yields increased sharply following the September FOMC and MPC minutes, in which both central banks communicated a lower tolerance for higher inflation than previously thought. The MPC in particular has doubled down on these signals in spite of softer economic data. Bond investors expect higher near-term interest rates but are also clearly uncertain about central bank policy.

The MPC appears to be playing both sides, but has made clear its intentions to tighten policy, possibly driven by a desire to move away from emergency levels. While the economic outlook will be challenging, the signals from policymakers suggest Bank Rate will rise unless data indicates a more severe slowdown.