

### Consultation Responses Log

Response	Summary of points raised
Response 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pleased that tightening up on the conditions.</li> <li>• Confirmed that the cattery complies with all conditions except condition 17.5.</li> <li>• It is over the top to have separate brush, dust pan and scoop for every pen. They dunk their scoop in disinfectant between pens and to store a brush and dustpan in or outside each pen is impractical.</li> </ul>
Response 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirmation of the cattery complying with the draft conditions.</li> <li>• State that any reputable cattery is already following the proposed guidelines and finds that the proposed conditions are more appropriate for animal rescue centres.</li> <li>• Reference included from a customer.</li> </ul>
Response 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concerns over condition 24.7 and 24.8 that there is no requirement for someone to live on site.</li> <li>• It is a contradiction to have very detailed regulations focusing on the cats' welfare yet require no one to be on site overnight to deal with any emergency.</li> <li>• The implications of this are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cats may be unattended overnight for 13 hours</li> <li>• Frequent checks cannot be carried out if someone is not on site;</li> <li>• Premises would be vulnerable to vandalism, malicious damage and harm to the cats.</li> <li>• Fire evacuation procedures are useless if there is no one site to spot the fire, report it and to take action with the cats</li> <li>• Possibility of things happening that might mean the cats are left on their own for more than 13 hours.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Cat owners would not be happy with the situation and they wonder if the Council may face some criticism of what could be viewed as a relaxation of the regulation.</li> </ul>